Soil Forensics

Henk Kars Lida van den Eijkel *Editors*

Soil in Criminal and Environmental Forensics

Proceedings of the Soil Forensics Special, 6th European Academy of Forensic Science Conference, The Hague



Editors Henk Kars Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences VU University Amsterdam Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Lida van den Eijkel Netherlands Forensic Institute The Hague, The Netherlands

ISSN 2214-4293 Soil Forensics ISBN 978-3-319-33113-3 DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-33115-7

ISSN 2214-4315 (electronic) ISBN 978-3-319-33115-7 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016951948

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland

Contents

Part	I Criminal Soil Forensics: The Examination of Traces and Legal Context	
1	Forensic Palynology: Checking Value of Pollen Analysis as a Tool to Identify Crime Scene in Semiarid Environments M. Munuera-Giner and J.S. Carrión	3
2	Forensic Palynology: How Pollen in Dry Grass Can Link to a Crime Scene Martina Weber and Silvia Ulrich	15
3	Geological Analysis of Soil and Anthropogenic Material. Three Case Studies Rosa Maria Di Maggio	25
4	Forensic Soil Analysis: Case Study of Looting at a Roman-Visigothic Burial Vault Enrique Santillana, Jose C. Cordero, and Francisco Alamilla	45
5	Soil Comparisons Using Small Soil Traces, A Case Report Stefan Uitdehaag, Frederike Quaak, and Irene Kuiper	61
6	Forensic Comparison of Soil Samples Jisook Min, Kiwook Kim, Sangcheol Heo, and Yurim Jang	71
7	Reinstating Soil Examination as a Trace Evidence Sub-discipline Brenda Woods, Chris Lennard, K. Paul Kirkbride, and James Robertson	107
8	Methodology of Forensic Soil Examination in Russia and a View on the World Standardization Process Olga Gradusova and Ekaterina Nesterina	121

Par	t II Environmental Soil Forensics: Tools for Spatial and Chemical Analysis	
9	Geographical Information Systems – A Working Example in the Brazilian Federal Police for Fighting Environmental Crime Daniel Araujo Miranda and Daniel Russo	139
10	Forensic Characterization of Gasoline Releases Impacting the Environment Gil Oudijk	153
11	A General Overview of Pesticides in Soil: Requirement of Sensitive and Current Residue Analysis Methods Sevcan Semen, Selda Mercan, and Munevver Acikkol	163
Par	t IIIa Searches: Cooperation, Strategies and Techniques	
12	A Study of pH as an Influencing Factor in the Survival of Human Remains at Sites Investigated by the Independent Commission for the Location of Victims Remains N.A. McCullagh	183
13	Interdisciplinary Approaches to the Search and Location of Buried Bodies: A United Kingdom Context	201
14	Forensic Geophysics: How the GPR Technique Can Help with Forensic Investigations . P.M. Barone, C. Ferrara, E. Pettinelli, and A. Fazzari	213
15	Filter Paper Adsorption and Ninhydrin Reagent as Presumptive Test for Gravesoil Martien H.F. Graumans, Tim C.W. van der Heijden, Aleksandra Kosinska, Maarten J. Blom, and Ben M. de Rooij	229
Par	t IIIb Burial Sites: Decomposition and Degradation Processes	
16	Changes in Soil Microbial Activity Following Cadaver Decomposition During Spring and Summer Months in Southern Ontario	243
17	Soil Fauna and Their Effects on Decomposition Within Coniferous and Deciduous Tree Soil Samples Rebecca J. Camplin, Damian Evans, and Iain D. Green	263

Contents

18	Analysis of Decomposition Fluid Collected from Carcasses Decomposing in the Presence and Absence of Insects	275
	Jenna L. Comstock, Helene N. LeBlanc, and Shari L. Forbes	
19	Forensic Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds from Decomposed Remains in a Soil Environment Sonja Stadler, Jean-François Focant, and Shari L. Forbes	297
20	GC×GC-TOFMS, the Swiss Knife for VOC Mixtures Analysis in Soil Forensic Investigations Pierre-Hugues Stefanuto and Jean-François Focant	317
21	An Investigation of the Degradation of Polymeric Grave Goods in Soil Environments C. Sullivan, B.H. Stuart, and P.S. Thomas	331
Ind	ex	343

Part I Criminal Soil Forensics: The Examination of Traces and Legal Context

Chapter 1 Forensic Palynology: Checking Value of Pollen Analysis as a Tool to Identify Crime Scene in Semiarid Environments

M. Munuera-Giner and J.S. Carrión

Abstract Taphonomic variables affecting pollen content of soil are especially relevant in semiarid localities, which could limit the potential of palynology as a source of evidence in courts. A number of positive experiences have so far been carried out in humid climates, but not in semiarid environments. Here we aim at comparing pollen spectra from soil surface samples and footwear sediment infill in order to evaluate the possibility of using palynology as associative evidence in a theoretical crime scene occurring in a semiarid environment. To check if any "handy forensic correspondence" can be found, five areas of the region of Murcia in southeastern Spain, different in flora, vegetation and biogeography, were selected.

1.1 Introduction

Plants release pollen grains that mostly settle on the ground, where, if appropriate conditions, they can persist even for millennia; those pollen grains can be extracted from soil and analyzed, showing particular assemblages and giving precise information about the vegetation in the surrounding areas (Erdtman 1969; Moore et al. 1991). As a consequence, palynology has a potential as a source of evidences in solving legal issues, as was firstly proposed by Locard (1930) and evidenced by Wilhelm Klaus in 1959 (Erdtman 1969).

The theoretical principles of forensic palynology have been amply described by different authors and a number of methods and examples have been displayed, showing that palynology can be a valuable forensic tool at least for over 50 years and emphasizing potentiality of this "blooming science" (Palenik 1982; Mildenhall 1988; Bryant and Mildenhall 1990; Mildenhall 1990; Brown and Llewellyn 1991;

J.S. Carrión Faculty of Biology, Department of Plant Biology, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

H. Kars, L. van den Eijkel (eds.), *Soil in Criminal and Environmental Forensics*, Soil Forensics, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-33115-7_1

M. Munuera-Giner (⊠)

Faculty of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Science and Technology, Technical University of Cartagena (UPCT), Cartagena (Murcia), Spain e-mail: Manuel.Munuera@upct.es

Mildenhall 1992; Stanley 1992; Szibor et al. 1998; Bruce and Dettmann 1996; Eyring 1997; Bryant and Mildenhall 1998; Horrocks and Walsh 1999, 2001; Mildenhall 2004; Milne 2004; Bryant and Jones 2006; Mildenhall 2006; Mildenhall et al. 2006; Wiltshire and Black 2006; Bertino 2008; Bryant 2009; Dobrescu et al. 2011). Unfortunately, the full potential of forensic palynology remains neglected in most countries in spite of its proved versatility in many kinds of criminal inquiries.

Forensic palynology is not an exact science due to the diversity of factors that control whether pollen grains and spores are or not finally present in a given place, and in which proportions they occur, that is, because the existence of diverse taphonomic variables (Mildenhall et al. 2006; Wiltshire and Black 2006). Precisely because of the taphonomic variability affecting palynomorphs' presence in soils (and other surfaces too), it must be assumed a certain unpredictability of the spatial patterning of pollen spectra as well as great heterogeneity of pollen and spore assemblages (Wiltshire and Black 2006), but, even so, strong correlations have been shown between soil samples obtained from footwear or clothes and soil surface samples from a precise site (Bruce and Dettmann 1996; Horrocks et al. 1998, 1999; Brown et al. 2002; Bull et al. 2006; Riding et al. 2007).

Regardless its undeniable validity and with relations to those taphonomic questions above-referred must be considered that reported examples connecting soil surface samples and soil from footwear/clothes by their palynological assemblages are mostly related with mud in more or less humid climates (Horrocks et al. 1998, 1999; Bull et al. 2006; Mildenhall et al. 2006; Riding et al. 2007), but no experiences in forensic palynology have been carried out in arid or semiarid, Mediterranean environments. That is significant because mud and wet soils effectively trap pollen and easily stick to footwear and clothes in considerable amounts, unlike dry sediments, which easily lose pollen and hardly stick to surfaces.

1.1.1 Why Semiarid Sites Are Special?

In richly vegetated regions transport of pollen by winds, rivers and other factors has a subordinate effect on pollen spectra from soil samples but are of prime importance in arid areas (Horowitz 1992), and can lead to an over-representation of anemophilous taxa and even to a scarce presence of pollen grains and types. In addition, the oxic conditions in those dry environments usually involve a poor preservation or even complete disintegration of pollen grains, specially those having thin walls. For instance, modern surface samples from the arid south-western USA generally record less than 40 pollen types of which only five, namely *Pinus*, *Juniperus*, Poaceae, Chenopodiaceae, and Asteraceae, may account for 90% of the pollen counts (Hall 1985). In these habitats, the anemophilous pollen percentages can be considerably higher than zoophilous ones even when anemophilous elements are less represented than zoophilous (El Ghazali and Moore 1998).

In spite of this, palynological study of surface soil samples is a suitable tool to register vegetation differences in arid environments (Carrión 2002), and seems to have a potential in forensic sciences (Guedes et al. 2011). Certainly, because the

influence of a number of factors the pollen content of a soil could show not an "exact/correct picture" of the surrounding vegetation. Nonetheless, its particular pollen spectrum could be useful for comparison purposes (linking persons/objects with possible crime scenes), making necessary to test the existing correspondence in pollen content between soil surface samples and soil forensic samples from clothes, fabrics and footwear. This work is aimed to check if any "handy forensic correspondence" can be found between soil pollen spectra and pollen content of soil samples from shoes in a semiarid environment as southeastern Spain.

1.2 Materials and Methods

Five localities showing a diversity of plant communities were selected within the region of Murcia (Fig. 1.1). Details about location, climate, bioclimatic belt and vegetation of the sites are shown in Table 1.1. At each locality, clean outdoor boots




Fig. 1.1 Location of sampling sites in Murcia Region (Spain). *1* Carrascalejo; 2 Albudeite; 3 Espinardo; 4 La Alberca; 5 Cartagena

TAULE I.I MIAIII		TADIC 1.1 MIGHI CHARACICHISHES OF UNC SAMPLING SHES	21162			
	Altitude			Ombro-		
Site	m.a.s.l.	Coordinates	Bioclimatic belt	climate	Short description	Predominate species
Carrascalejo	620	38° 03' 38″ N 01° 42' 40″ W	Meso-Mediterranean	Dry	Small stream with special deciduous/ evergreen forest gallery	Trees: Quercus faginea, Populus nigra and Populus alba near the stream and Pinus halepensis, Quercus rotundifolia, Fraxinus angustifolia and Olea europaea nearby Shrubs: Quercus coccifera, Daphne gnidium, Pistacia terebinthus, Pistacia lentiscus, Rhamnus lycioides, Genista scorpius, Ulex parviflorus, Rosmarinus officinalis, Thymus vulgaris, Sideritis leucantha, Satureja obovata
Albudeite	193	38° 01' 24" N 01° 23' 42" W	Upper thermo-Mediterranean	Semiarid	Stream (usually dry) with marly-saline-nitrified soils rich in Chenopodiaceae	Shrubs: Suaeda vera, Anabasis hispanica, Atriplex halimus, A. glauca, Salsola genistoides, Tamarix boveana, T. canariensis, Limonium caesium, Capparis spinosa, Anthyllis cytisoides, Lygeum spartum, Stipa capensis, Helianthemun squamatum

Table 1.1Main characteristics of the sampling sites

Espinardo	95	38° 01' 11" N	Upper thermo-Mediterranean	Semiarid	Landscaped area in	Trees: in the selected garden
4		01° 10' 06" W	4		Campus of University of	Morus alba, Phoenix
					Murcia	dactylifera, Ph. canariensis,
						Schinus molle, Citrus limon,
						C. aurantium; and Acacia
						farnesiana, Robinia
						pseudoacacia, Pinus
						halepensis, Ceratonia siliqua
						and Ulmus pumila nearby
La Alberca	58	37° 56' 32" N	Upper thermo-Mediterranean	Semiarid	Eucalyptus wood in	Trees: Eucalyptus
		01° 09° 07″ W			anthropic area with	camaldulensis
					nitrified soils	Shruhs: Oxalis nes-canrae
						Marruhium vulgare
						Sisvahrium irio Moricandia
						amondie Cancella hund
						arvensis, Capsella pursa-
						pastoris, Piptatherum
						miliaceum, Hyparrhenia
						sinaica, Hordeum vulgare,
						Silybum marianum,
						Chrysanthemum coronarium,
						Malva parviflora
Cartagena	40.	37° 34' 33″ N	Lower thermo-Mediterranean	Semiarid	Coastal site with special	Trees: Periploca angustifolia,
		00° 57' 53" W			Periploca thicket	Chamaerops humilis
						Shrubs: Asparagus albus,
						Genista umhellata.
						<i>Calicolome intermedia</i> ,
						Thymelaea hirsuta, Salsola
						oppositifolia

with a maximum tread of 6 mm deep was "normally" walked around (that is, not using exaggerated force in order to deliberately entrain material into the boot tread) for 3 min, in random directions over an area of approximately 25 m². After that and by using a small clean spatula and a clean brush, all sediment in the boots soles was removed and saved in a new sterile plastic bag. Finally, a composite sample was collected as a control and consisting of 12–15 subsamples of soil taken at a depth of 1–2 mm with a clean spatula and put together in a sterile plastic bag to be thoroughly homogenized before pollen analysis.


After deflocculation by using sodium pyrophosphate, soil samples were prepared for pollen analysis according to the KOH, hydrofluoric acid and hydrochloric acid method, including flotation in zinc chloride (Dimbleby 1957, 1961; Frenzel 1964; Bastin and Couteaux 1966; Girard and Renault-Miskovsky 1969; Juvigné 1973). Pollen mounted in glycerol was identified and quantified at X400–X1000 by light microscopy.

1.3 Results and Discussion

As expected and with the exception of the locality at Cartagena, pollen spectra show relatively low diversity and dominance of anemophilous types (Hall 1985; El Ghazali and Moore 1998). Except for Carrascalejo, all selected sites are semiarid and, as expected, pollen spectra from soil samples (Fig. 1.2) depict five well-differentiated habitats and correlate quite well with main vegetation in their surrounding areas. After microscopic examination, a total of 57 pollen types (54 Magnoliophyta and 3 Pinophyta), 10 spore types (2 Bryophyta, 5 Algae and 3 Fungi) and one Oribatida species (moss mites) were identified.

For each study case, the pollen diagram (Fig. 1.2) shows a close resemblance between soil surface samples and those from footwear dust, not only in main pollen types but also in rare types and fungal and algal spores. Between 8 and 37 different types were identified in sites (Table 1.2). Maximum diversity was found in Cartagena and Espinardo but relative diversity in soil surface samples was higher than in shoe samples in Espinardo and Albudeite, and lower in samples from Carrascalejo, La Alberca and Cartagena. The proportion of taxa present both in soil and shoe samples moves around 45% in Albudeite, Espinardo and Cartagena, reaching 61% in Carrascalejo and almost 90% in La Alberca (Table 1.2). Even though results are summarized in Figs. 1.2, 1.3 and Table 1.2 a short analysis for every site is done.

 Carrascalejo. A total of 23 pollen types was identified, 61% of them both in soil and shoe samples. In spite of some differences in percentages, pollen spectra from soil and shoes correlate quite well. According with its dominance in the surroundings, *Pinus* is the dominant type, being *Quercus*, Chenopodiaceae, Asteraceae, *Populus* and Cistaceae other important elements characterizing the site. Noteworthy is the presence of fungal spores (*Glomus*, Sordariaceae and *Tilletia*) and algae zygospores and aplanospores (*Zygnema, Rivularia,*





	Total	Soil	Shoes	Both	Both
Carrascalejo	23	16	21	14	60.9%
Albudeite	13	10	9	6	46.1%
Espinardo	32	25	22	15	46.9%
La Alberca	8	7	8	7	87.5%
Cartagena	37	23	30	16	43.2%

Table 1.2 Number of pollen/spore types found in sites




Fig. 1.3 Summary chart of the discrimination of the five sites on the basis of the pollen percentages both in shoes and soil samples. *1* Carrascalejo; 2 Albudeite; 3 Espinardo; 4 La Alberca; 5 Cartagena

Desmidiaceae, Closterium and *Mougeotia*). Such a number of particular occurrences are probably due to a footstep on a wet site near the stream.

• Albudeite. Chenopodiaceae, Poaceae and *Tamarix* are the more abundant plants on this site (Table 1.1), but in pollen counts Chenopodiaceae reach by itself 88.6% in soil surface samples and 95.3% in shoe samples. *Artemisia*, Lamiaceae, Cistaceae and Poaceae are other characteristic elements. Only 13 pollen types were identified in Albudeite, six of them both in soil and shoe samples.

- Espinardo. Although other trees are frequent in the selected area (*Citrus*, *Schinus*, *Fraxinus*, *Robinia*; Table 1.1), their pollen grains are scarce in samples while pollen from *Phoenix* and *Morus* exceeded 95% of the pollen found both in soil surface and shoe samples, probably because *Morus* was just finishing blooming and *Phoenix* blooms through the whole year. Presence of *Lonicera* and low percentages of *Pinus* and *Cupressaceae* are noteworthy, especially the last ones, which are "highly under-represented" having in mind their significant presence in the vicinity of the garden, its high production of pollen and its anemophilous dispersal.
- La Alberca. With the only exception of *Quercus* (only found in shoes), the same taxa are found in soil surface and shoe samples. A low diversity characterizes samples from La Alberca, which are dominated by *Eucalyptus* (88–92%), a pollen type totally absent in the other sites. The high presence of Sordariaceae agrees with the use of the area as grazing land.
- **Cartagena.** In spite of being the driest location, shows the highest diversity with a total of 37 taxa, 30 of them found in shoe samples. Correlation of taxa between soil surface and shoe samples reaches 43%. Both spectra match very well and show the main elements of the surrounding area, including characteristic, entomophilous taxa like *Periploca, Maytenus, Calicotome* and *Rhamnus*

1.4 Conclusion

The forensic use of palynology is challenging when dealing with semiarid regions, principally due to the particularities of pollen taphonomy and, in addition, because of the limited possibilities of adherence of dry soil to footwear. Here we have compared pollen assemblages in soil surface samples with those from soil samples in footwear walked, and found remarkable correlation. However, this is a preliminary study and a more complete, wide-ranging research is still needed. This new study should be orientated towards a thorough investigation of the effect of time (weeks, months) on the pollen spectra so as to elucidate when the control samples will stop being valid as evidential samples due to the biases caused by differential preservation of pollen grains and spores.

References

- Bastin B, Couteaux M (1966) Application de la méthode de Frenzel à l'extraction des pollens dans les sédiments archéologiques pauvres. L'Anthropologie 70:201–203
- Bertino A (2008) Forensic sciences: fundamentals and investigations, 1st edn. South-western Cengage Learning, Mason
- Brown AG, Llewellyn P (1991) Traces of guilt: science fights crime in New Zealand. Collins Publishers, Aukland

- Brown AG, Smith A, Elmhurst O (2002) The combined use of pollen and soil analyses in a search and subsequent murder investigation. J Forensic Sci 47:614–618
- Bruce RG, Dettmann ME (1996) Palynological analysis of Australian surface soils and their potential in forensic science. Forensic Sci Int 81:77–94
- Bryant VM Jr (2009) Forensic palynology: why it works? Trace evidence symposium. Clearwater Beach, Florida
- Bryant VM Jr, Jones GD (2006) Forensic palynology: current status of a rarely used technique in the United States of America. Forensic Sci Int 163:183–197
- Bryant VM Jr, Mildenhall DC (1990) Forensic palynology in the United States of America. Palynology 14:193–208
- Bryant VM Jr, Mildenhall DC (1998) Forensic palynology: a new way to catch crooks. In: Bryant VM, Wrenn JW (eds) New developments in palynomorphs sampling, extraction, and analysis, American association of stratigraphic palynologists foundation, contributions series 33, pp 145–155
- Bull PA, Parker A, Morgan RM (2006) The forensic analysis of soils and sediments taken from the cast of a footprint. Forensic Sci Int 162:6–12
- Carrión JS (2002) A taphonomic study of modern pollen assemblages from dung and surface sediments in arid environments of Spain. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 120:217–232
- Dimbleby GW (1957) Pollen analysis of terrestrial soils. New Phytol 56:12-28
- Dimbleby GW (1961) Soil pollen analysis. J Soil Sci 12:1-11
- Dobrescu EM, Olteanu GI, Sima E (2011) Defining the elements of new scientific disciplines Palynoforensics. Int J Criminal Investig 1:87–94
- El Ghazali GEB, Moore PD (1998) Modern lowland pollen spectra and contemporary vegetation in the eastern Sahel Vegetation Zone, Sudan. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 99:235–246
- Erdtman G (1969) Handbook of palynology. An introduction to the study of pollen grains and spores. Hafner, New York
- Eyring MB (1997) Soil pollen analysis from a forensic point of view. Microscope 44:81-97
- Frenzel B (1964) Zur pollenanalyse von Lössen. Eiszeit Gegenw 15:5-39
- Girard M, Renault-Miskovsky J (1969) Nouvelles techniques de preparation en palynologie appliques a trois sediments du Quaternaire final de l'Abri Corneille (Istres-Bouches-du-Rhone). Bulletin de l'Association Française pour l'Etude du Quaternaire 4:275–284
- Guedes A, Ribeiro H, Valentim B, Rodrigues A, Sant'Ovaia H, Abreu I, Noronha F (2011) Characterization of soils from the Algarve region (Portugal): a multidisciplinary approach for forensic applications. Sci Justice 51:77–82
- Hall SA (1985) Quaternary pollen analysis and vegetational history of the Southwest. In: Holloway RG, Bryant VM (eds) Pollen records of late-quaternary North American sediments. American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Foundation, Dallas, pp 95–123
- Horowitz A (1992) Palynology of arid lands. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Horrocks M, Walsh KAJ (1999) Fine resolution of pollen patterns in limited space: differentiating a crime scene and alibi scene seven meters apart. J Forensic Sci 44:417–420
- Horrocks M, Walsh KAJ (2001) Pollen on grass clippings: putting the suspect at the scene of the crime. J Forensic Sci 46:947–949
- Horrocks M, Coulson SA, Walsh KAJ (1998) Forensic palynology: variation in the pollen content of surface samples. J Forensic Sci 43:320–323
- Horrocks M, Coulson SA, Walsh KAJ (1999) Forensic palynology: variation in the pollen content on shoes and in shoeprints in soil. J Forensic Sci 44:119–122
- Juvigné E (1973) Une méthode de séparation des pollens applicable aux sédiments mineraux. Ann Soc Géol Belg 96:253–262
- Locard E (1930) The analysis of dust traces. Part II. Am J Police Sci 1:401-418
- Mildenhall DC (1988) Deer velvet and palynology: and example of the use of forensic palynology in New Zealand. Tuatara 30:1–11
- Mildenhall DC (1990) Forensic palynology in New Zealand. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 64-65:227-234

Mildenhall DC (1992) Pollen plays part in crime-busting. Forensic Focus 11:1-4

- Mildenhall DC (2004) An example of the use of forensic palynology in assessing an alibi. J Forensic Sci 49:1–5
- Mildenhall DC (2006) An unusual appearance of a common pollen type indicates the scene of the crime. Forensic Sci Int 163:236–240
- Mildenhall DC, Wiltshire PEJ, Bryant VM Jr (2006) Forensic palynology: why do it and how it works. Forensic Sci Int 163:163–172
- Milne LA (2004) A grain of truth: how pollen brought a murderer to justice. New Holland Publishers Pty Limited, New Holland
- Moore PD, Webb JA, Collinson ME (1991) Pollen analysis, 2nd edn. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford
- Palenik S (1982) Microscopic trace evidence the overlooked clue: Part II, Max Frei Sherlock Holmes with a microscope. The Microscope 30:163–168
- Riding JM, Rawlins BG, Coley KH (2007) Changes in soil pollen assemblages on footwear at different sites. Palynology 31:135–151
- Stanley EA (1992) Application of palynology to establish the provenance and travel history of illicit drugs. Microscope 40:149–152
- Szibor R, Schubert C, Schöning R, Krause D, Wendt U (1998) Pollen analysis reveals murder season. Nature 395:449–50
- Wiltshire P, Black S (2006) The cribriform approach to the retrieval of palynological evidence from the turbinates of murder victims. Forensic Sci Int 3:224–230