Nocturnality, seasonality and the SARS-CoV-2 Ecological Niche

Geraldine Finlayson^{1,2,3}*, Stewart Finlayson^{1,4}, Clive Finlayson^{1,2,3,5}, Keith Bensusan^{6,3}, Rhian Guillem^{6,3}, Tyson L. Holmes^{1,3}, Francisco Giles Guzman¹, José S. Carrión⁷, Cristóbal Belda⁸, Lawrence Sawchuk⁵

^{1.} The Gibraltar National Museum, 18-20 Bomb House Lane, Gibraltar

^{2.} Department of Life Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, United Kingdom

^{3.} Institute of Life and Earth Sciences, The University of Gibraltar, Gibraltar

⁴. Department of Life Sciences, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, United Kingdom

^{5.} Department of Social Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough, Canada

^{6.} Gibraltar Botanic Gardens, 'The Alameda', Gibraltar

^{7.} Departamento de Biologia, Universidad de Murcia, Spain.

⁸. Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain.

* Corresponding author

E-mail: geraldine.finlayson@gibmuseum.gi (GF)

Abstract

Understanding the behaviour of hosts of SARS-CoV-2 is crucial to our understanding of the virus. A comparison of environmental features related to the incidence of SARS-CoV-2 with those of its potential hosts is critical. We examine the distribution of coronaviruses among bats. We analyse the distribution of SARS-CoV-2 in a nine-week period following lockdown in Italy, Spain, and Australia. We correlate its incidence with environmental variables particularly

ultraviolet radiation, temperature, and humidity. We establish a clear negative relationship between COVID-19 and ultraviolet radiation, modulated by temperature and humidity. We relate our results with data showing that the bat species most vulnerable to coronavirus infection are those which live in environmental conditions that are similar to those that appear to be most favourable to the spread of COVID-19. The SARS-CoV-2 ecological niche has been the product of long-term coevolution of coronaviruses with their host species. Understanding the key parameters of that niche in host species allows us to predict circumstances where its spread will be most favourable. Such conditions can be summarised under the headings of nocturnality and seasonality. High ultraviolet radiation, in particular, is proposed as a key limiting variable. We therefore expect the risk of spread of COVID-19 to be highest in winter conditions, and in low light environments. Human activities resembling those of highly social cave-dwelling bats (e.g. large nocturnal gatherings or high density indoor activities) will only serve to compound the problem of COVID-19.

Keywords: COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; Coronavirus; nocturnality; seasonality; bats; ultraviolet radiation; ecological niche; climatic factors; pandemic.

Introduction

A range of coronaviruses have been identified in over 100 bat species in Asia, Europe, Africa, Australia and America (Ge *et al.*, 2015). The similarity of SARS-CoV-2 (cause of the current COVID-19 pandemic, Li *et al.*, 2020) to bat SARS-CoV-like coronaviruses makes it likely that bats may have been reservoir hosts for the SARS-CoV-2 progenitor (Andersen *et al.* 2020, Boni *et al.* 2020, Zhou *et al.* 2020). Coronaviruses are an ancient viral lineage with an estimated mean time of the most recent common ancestor (tMRCA) of approximately 293 million years ago (range 190-489 mya), which roughly coincides with the inferred tMRCA of

birds and mammals (Wertheim *et al.* 2013). It is therefore likely that co-evolutionary relationships exist between coronaviruses and their natural hosts (Vijaykrishna *et al.* 2007, Wang *et al.* 2011, Zhang *et al.* 2013). Coronaviruses and bats would be expected to have ecological features in common and the coronavirus environment should mirror that of its natural hosts. A number of recent papers have highlighted possible links between the incidence of SARS-CoV and climatic variables at various spatial scales (Tan *et al.* 2005, Yuan *et al.* 2006) and specifically, SARS-CoV-2 (Araujo and Naimi 2020, Moriyama *et al.* 2020). Here we examine the relationship between climatic variables and the incidence of COVID-19 (and hence SARS-CoV-2) using data from Italy, Spain and Australia. We link the observed associations with the ecology of the natural coronavirus hosts, specifically bats, and argue that these reflect deep time co-evolutionary ecological relationships.

Materials and Methods

We compiled a database of bat species known to have been infected by coronaviruses (from Ge *et al.* 2015). We also generated a database of bat species to which we added ecological and behavioural features: 545 species for which data were available (Wilson and Mittermeier 2019). This allowed us to examine if bats known to have been infected by coronaviruses had particular ecological and behavioural characteristics.

We selected Italy and Spain for an analysis of COVID-19 incidence, being two countries which had been significantly affected by COVID-19 at an early stage and which had a number of regions within each country: (a) 20 for Italy; and (b) 19 for Spain. We analysed daily reported new cases for a period of seven weeks following lockdown (data sources: http://www.protezionecivile.gov.it/ and

https://elpais.com/sociedad/2020/03/30/actualidad/1585589827_546714.html). The logic

behind the exercise was to examine the effect of a national lockdown (acting as a standardized control between regions) on COVID-19 incidence, the assumption being that any differences between regions would have to implicate variables other than lockdown itself. As seasonal climatic and environmental variables were being examined, it was decided to contrast the results with those of a southern hemisphere country. We chose Australia as it offered a range of regions (8 states and territories) that would permit analysis. In this case we examined daily new cases listed on the website of the John Hopkins University Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE, 2020). Several studies have examined cases using four time delays in relation to weather conditions: zero, three, seven and fourteen days (Chen *et al.* 2020, Liu *et al.* 2020). We adopted the same approach to time lags for this part of our study. We understand that there may have been errors in reporting of daily cases, which may have added noise to our analyses. Mortality data may have been more accurate than incidence data, although also subject to reporting errors, but would not have reflected the reach of the virus in each region as the majority of cases do not end in death.

For each region within the three countries, we compiled a database of daily climatological variables obtained from the OpenWeather website (OpenWeather, 2020), coinciding with the seven-week period from the commencement of lockdown in each case. Climatological data included daily Ultraviolet Index (UVI) data, temperature (mean, maximum and minimum), relative humidity, wind speed and direction, rainfall, and cloud cover for each country/territory. These data were derived and summarised from hourly data. For each territory, the station closest to the capital of the territory was chosen.

Multivariate statistics, using Microsoft Excel and SPSS, were used to analyse the data. Where zero cases were reported for a particular day, we added a constant (0.01) to each value prior to log transformation, in order to deal with the log of zero in our stepwise multiple regression models. With regards to temperature, we used Kelvin scale to avoid zeros when log

4

transforming. Details of models used and variables entered are provided, as appropriate, in Appendix 1.

Null Hypothesis

It could be inferred from the long period of coronavirus-bat coevolution, spanning millions of years (Mao *et al.* 2010, 2013, You *et al.* 2010, Latinne *et al.* 2020, Wertheim *et al.* 2013), that bats and coronaviruses would share common features of climatic tolerance shaped by a co-shared environment. Among the features of the bat-coronavirus environment, given the generalised nocturnal behaviour of bats, absence of solar radiation would appear to be the most widespread and prevalent variable.

Of the ultraviolet (UV) radiation reaching the Earth's surface it I, s UV-B (280 to 315 nm) which causes damage and mutations to living organisms (Flenley 2007). UV-B is therefore of particular interest to us and its absence would be the constant feature of all organisms living in darkness (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Absence of UV-B would be a constant feature of all organisms living in the darkness of caves, and emerging at night. Presence of UV-B is a key factor defining the ecological niche of bats and corona viruses. (A – presence of bats and viruses in caves during the day, B – absence of bats and viruses in the exterior during the day, C – reduced presence of bats and viruses in caves during the night, D – presence of bats and viruses in the exterior during the night.)

In addition to darkness (Rowse *et al.* 2016), a wide range of temperatures (but not exceeding \sim 35°C), and high relative humidity (between 60 and 100%; Perry 2013) also appear as regular features of bat cave environments. In seeking patterns linking SARS-CoV-2 to environmental variables, we would expect the closest relationships to be between the distribution of the virus

and these particular variables, especially UV-B. Our null hypothesis is therefore that there is no relationship between (a) ultraviolet radiation (UVR); (b) a broad temperature range, excluding very high temperatures, and (c) high relative humidity, and the incidence of SARS-CoV-2. Failure to uphold the null hypothesis would, instead, implicate some or all of these climatic and environmental variables with the incidence of SARS-CoV-2.

Results

Bats, coronaviruses and the shared environment

Ge *et al.* (2015) list the bat species recorded with coronavirus infection. We have used this list to examine the broad ecological and behavioural characteristics of bats which have been identified with coronavirus infection.

A comparison by social status of number of species detected with coronavirus infection, with those that were not infected, shows that the gregarious species were more likely to be infected than the less social species (one-way $\chi^2_4 = 44.241$; p= 0.000). Similarly, bat species inhabiting caves were more likely to be infected than those not inhabiting caves (one-way $\chi^2_1 = 24.387$; p= 0.000). Bats harbouring coronaviruses are therefore predominantly highly gregarious cave dwellers that gather to roost in large numbers and at high densities (Tables 1 & 2).

Table 1: The number and proportion of cave-dwelling bats by family and their relationship with the incidence of coronavirus infection. Bat ecological features from Wilson & Mittermaier (2019) and coronavirus incidence from Ge et al. (2015). ¹ sub-order Yingpterochiroptera, ² Yangpterochiroptera; ^a superfamily Pteropodoidea, ^b Rhinolophoidea, ^c Emballonuroidea, ^dNoctilionoidea, ^e Vespertilionoidea.

FAMILY	CAVE SPECIES	NON-CAVE SPECIES	TOTAL SPECIES	PRO- PORTION (%) OF SPECIES THAT ARE CAVE DWELLERS	SPECIES DETECTED WITH INFECTION	PROPORTIO N (%) INFECTED	PRO- PORTION (%) OF INFECTED THAT ARE CAVE DWELLERS	PRO- PORTION (%) OF INFECTED THAT ARE CAVE OR FISSURE DWELLERS
Pteropodidae ^{1,a}	4	19	23	17.4	8	34.8	50	62.5
Rhinopomatidae ^{1,b}	4	0	4	100	1	25	100	100
Megadermetidae ^{1,b}	5	1	6	83.3	1	16.7	100	100
Rhinonycteridae ^{1,b}	7	0	7	100	1	14.3	100	100

Hinnosideridae ^{1,b}	.52	4	.56	92.9	6	10.7	100	100
Rhinolophidae ^{1,b}	50	3	53	94.3	13	24.5	100	100
Emballonuridae ^{2,c}	30	9	39	76.9	1	2.6	100	100
Mystacinidae ^{2,d}	0	1	1	0	1	100	0	0
Mormoopidae ^{2,d}	10	0	10	100	2	20	100	100
Phyllostomatidae ^{2,d}	43	33	76	56.7	9	11.8	100	100
Molossidae ^{2,e}	25	46	71	35.2	8	11.3	50	100
Miniopteridae ^{2,e}	18	0	18	100	8	44.4	100	100
Vespertilionidae ^{2,e}	69	112	181	61.6	28	15.5	75	100

We detected no difference in rate of infection among bats of the two major taxonomic subdivisions, suborders Yingpterochiroptera and Yangpterochiroptera (Table 1; two-way Pearson $\chi^2_1 = 1.775$; p= 0.183). There were no observable differences between the four major superfamilies Pteropodoidea, Rhinolophoidea, Noctilionoidea and Vespertilionoidea either (Table 1; two-way Pearson $\chi^2_3 = 5.903$; p= 0.116).

We detected no differences in the number of species infected when compared by social status (two-way Pearson $\chi^2_4 = 2.777$; p= 0.596) or caves (two-way Pearson $\chi^2_1 = 1.804$; p= 0.179) between the two suborders. In addition, the bat families most implicated in coronavirus-bat coevolution (Rhinolophidae and Miniopteridae/Vespertilionidae; Latinne *et al.* 2020) belong in different sub-orders (Table 1). The propensity to infection is therefore phylogenetically independent.

Caves offer a sheltered environment capable of accepting large numbers of bats. The large gatherings and dense clustering presumably provide the context for viral transmission within the caves (Kuzmin *et al.* 2011, Willoughby *et al.* 2017) and the use of a cave by various species, in some cases in very close proximity, raises the possibility of interspecific viral exchange (Messenger *et al.* 2003, Kuzmin *et al.* 2011). It is notable that among the fruit bats (Pteropodidae), a family noted for typically roosting on trees, the four known cave dwelling species have all been reported with coronavirus infections, three of these species being well-known for gathering in very large numbers (up to a million individuals; Wilson and Mittermeier 2019) in roosts (Table 2).

Table 2: The number and proportion of bats by family and social status and their relationship with the incidence of
coronavirus infection. Bat behavioural features from Wilson & Mittermaier (2019) and coronavirus incidence from Ge et al.
(2015). Social status categories are not mutually exclusive: A solitary; B forms gatherings of up to 100 individuals; C of
101-1000 individuals; D of 1001-10,000 individuals; E of over 10,001 individuals.

	SOCIAL STATUS CATEGORY					SOCIAL STATUS CATEGORY ROPORTION INFECTED IN SOCI STATUS CATEGORY				OCIAL					
FAMILY	A	B	С	D	E	AI	BI	CI	DI	EI	AI%	BI%	CI%	DI%	EI%
Pteropodidae	12	15	7	6	5	3	4	2	2	4	25.0	26.7	28.6	33.3	80.0
Rhinopomatidae	0	3	3	2	1	0	1	1	1	0		33.3	33.3	50.0	0.0
Megadermetidae	1	3	4	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0.0	33.3	25.0	0.0	
Rhinonycteridae	0	4	3	4	3	0	1	1	1	0		25.0	33.3	25.0	0.0
Hipposideridae	8	46	32	11	3	0	4	4	2	0	0.0	8.7	12.5	18.2	0.0
Rhinolophidae	16	34	29	15	0	4	9	10	7	0	25.0	26.5	34.5	46.7	
Emballonuridae	5	31	17	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mystacinidae	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0				100.0	
Mormoopidae	0	3	3	5	2	0	2	2	0	0		66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0
Phyllostomatidae	4	61	18	6	5	1	7	8	0	0	25.0	11.5	44.4	0.0	0.0
Molossidae	5	54	19	5	4	0	5	4	1	2	0.0	9.3	21.1	20.0	50.0
Miniopteridae	0	2	6	13	6	0	0	3	7	4		0.0	50.0	53.8	66.7
Vespertilionidae	63	56	52	18	10	4	19	18	9	3	6.3	33.9	34.6	50.0	30.0

SARS-CoV-2 and UV: Regional Comparisons: Italy and Spain

We examined two countries which had been significantly affected by COVID-19 in the initial stages of the pandemic (Ceylan 2020, Gatto 2020). In Italy, national lockdown commenced on 9th March, 2020 and, in Spain on 14th March, 2020. National lockdowns offered a "natural" experiment that permitted testing the spread of SARS-CoV-2 within regions in each country by providing a control. Our null hypothesis was that there would be no differences in the spread of the virus, or of its rate of control, between regions within each country as the conditions of national lockdown applied across the regions and could therefore be regarded as a constant.

The mean number of new daily cases (MNNDC) reported for Italian regions during the sevenweek period following lockdown varied considerably (Figure 2). These regions separated into three clusters that had non-overlapping incidence: (a) a north to north-west cluster (b) a northeast and east-central cluster; and (c) a southern cluster with low incidence (Figure 2a). These results suggest that factors other than lockdown must have been at work and that these varied regionally.



Figure 2(a): Italy - distribution of COVID-19 incidence for the seven-week period after lockdown by region. Map inset: black regions with highest incidence; grey intermediate incidence and white low incidence. 1-Aosta Valley (VDA); 2-Piedmont; 3-Liguria; 4-Lombardy; 5-Emilia-Romagna (EMR); 6-Trentino; 7-Veneto; 8-Friuli-Venezia-Giulia (FVG); 9-Tuscany; 10-Marche; 11-Abruzzo; 12-Lazio; 13-Molise; 14-Campania; 15-Puglia; 16-Basilicata; 17-Calabria; 18-Sicily; 19-Sardinia.



Figure 2(b): Relationship between new daily cases and relative humidity for Italian regions for the seven-week period post-Lockdown. The relationship was statistically significant for zero, three-day, seven-day and fourteen-day time lags. Figure illustrates fourteen-day time lag.



Figure 2(c): Relationship between new daily cases and UVI for Italian regions for the seven-week period post-Lockdown. The relationship was statistically significant for zero, three-day, seven-day and fourteen-day time lags. Figure illustrates fourteen-day time lag.

MNNDC per region was strongly correlated with latitude (Pearson correlation = 0.909; P = 0.000) and with longitude (Pearson correlation = -0.708; P = 0.000) but not with altitude (Pearson correlation = 0.094; P = 0.694). The trend was for high to low MNNDC on a northwest to south-east axis. The strongest environmental correlates of latitude were relative humidity (RH; Pearson correlation = -0.933; P = 0.000) and UV (Pearson correlation = 0.814, P = 0.000). No environmental variables were correlated with longitude. Using stepwise multiple regression, the model that best explained the regional distribution of daily new cases had relative humidity as sole explanatory variable (Figure 2b). The relationship held valid for zero, three-day, seven-day and fourteen-day time lags (Appendix 1). RH and UV were strongly correlated (Pearson correlation = 0.706, P = 0.000). Removing RH from the model, UV emerged as the single explanatory variable (Figure 2c). In Italy, the highest regional MNNDC were therefore associated with low RH and low UV.

In Spain MNNDC for Spanish regions during the seven-week period following lockdown also varied considerably (Figure 3a). The regions separated into three clusters: (a) a central inland cluster with high incidence; (b) a northern and eastern cluster with intermediate incidence comprising; and (c) a southern cluster with low incidence (Figure 3a). As in Italy, factors other than lockdown must have been at work at regional scales.



Figure 3(a): Spain - distribution of COVID-19 incidence for the seven-week period after lockdown by region. Map inset: black regions with highest incidence; grey intermediate incidence and white low incidence. 1-Madrid; 2-Castilla y Leon; 3-La Rioja; 4-Navarra; 5-Catilla La Mancha; 6-Galicia; 7-Asturias; 8-Cantabria; 9-Pais Basco; 10-Aragon; 11-Catalunya; 12-Valencia; 13-Murcia; 14-Extremadura; 15-Andalucia; 16-Baleares; 17-Canaries; 18-Ceuta; 19-Melilla.



Figure 3(b): Relationship between new daily cases and mean maximum daily temperature for Spanish regions for the sevenweek period post-Lockdown. The relationship was statistically significant for zero, three-day, seven-day and fourteen-day time lags. Figure illustrates fourteen-day time lag.



Figure 3(c): Relationship between new daily cases and UV for Spanish regions for the seven-week period post-Lockdown. The relationship was statistically significant for zero, three-day, seven-day and fourteen-day time lags. Figure illustrates fourteen-day time lag.

MNNDC was strongly correlated with altitude (Pearson correlation = 0.755; P = 0.000), latitude (Pearson correlation = 0.647; P = 0.003) and, less so, longitude (Pearson correlation = 0.464; P = 0.045). The strongest environmental correlate of altitude was temperature, particularly mean maximum daily temperature (MMDT; Pearson correlation = -0.758; P = 0.000). The strongest correlate of latitude was UV (Pearson correlation = -0.954; P = 0.000), followed by temperature (the strongest correlation being with mean daily temperature, MDT; Pearson correlation = -0.765; P = 0.000). The strongest correlate of longitude was RH (Pearson correlation = -0.765; P = 0.000). The strongest correlate of longitude was RH (Pearson correlation = 0.716, P = 0.001) followed by UV (Pearson correlation = -0.698; P = 0.001). Using stepwise multiple regression, the model that best explained the regional distribution of daily new cases had MMDT as sole explanatory variable (Figure 3b). The relationship held valid for zero, three-day, seven-day and fourteen-day time lags (Appendix 1). MMDT and UV were strongly correlated (Pearson correlation = 0.685, P = 0.001). Removing temperature variables from the model, UV emerged as the single explanatory variable (Figure 3c). In Spain, the highest regional daily rates of new cases were therefore associated with low MMDT and low UV. Our results for Italy and Spain can be expected from a virus associated with nocturnal and cave-dwelling host species.

SARS-CoV-2 and UV: Regional Comparisons: Australia

The observed correlations between UV and temperature, in particular, follow the gradient from lockdown forwards as they occurred during the northern hemisphere spring, which is time of rising UV and increasing temperature. Even though each region's post-lockdown curve is different, it could be suggested that our observations simply reflect the natural progression from lockdown. For this reason, we look at a southern hemisphere country, which would have faced autumnal conditions of decreasing UV and temperatures during lockdown. We selected Australia as it offered a diversity of territories which all came under lockdown on 23rd March, 2020. MNNDC reported for Australian territories during the seven-week period following lockdown varied considerably (Figure 4a). These territories separated into three clusters: (a) Tasmania in the extreme south with high incidence; (b) a northern pair of Northern Territory and Queensland with low incidence; and (c) the remaining territories with intermediate incidence (Figure 4a).



Figure 4(a): Australia - distribution of COVID-19 incidence for the seven-week period after lockdown by region. Map inset: black regions with highest incidence; grey intermediate incidence and white low incidence. 1-Tasmania; 2-Victoria; 3-New South Wales; 4-South Australia; 5-Western Australia; 6-Northern Territory; 7-Queensland.



Figure 4(b): Relationship between new daily cases and UV for Australian regions for the seven-week period post-Lockdown. The relationship was statistically significant for zero, three-day, seven-day and fourteen-day time lags. Figure illustrates three-day time lag.

MNNDC per region was strongly correlated with latitude (Pearson correlation = 0.732; P = 0.039) but not with longitude (Pearson correlation = 0.382; P = 0.351) or altitude (Pearson correlation = 0.221; P = 0.6). There was therefore a trend from large numbers of daily new cases to low numbers on a south to north gradient. The strongest environmental correlates of latitude were UV (Pearson correlation = -0.934; P = 0.001) and temperature (highest with mean minimum daily temperature, Pearson correlation = 0.927, P = 0.001). Using stepwise multiple regression, the model that best explained the regional distribution of daily new cases had UV as sole explanatory variable (Figure 4b). The relationship held valid for zero, three-day, sevenday and fourteen-day time lags (Appendix 1). In Australia, the highest regional daily rates of new cases were therefore associated with low UV, conditions which we would expect the virus to favour.

Discussion

Bats, coronaviruses and the bat-coronavirus ecological niche

Alpha- (α -) and beta (β -) coronaviruses regularly infect bats and other mammals, including humans, the latter having been identified from fewer hosts and showing less genetic diversity than the former (Ge *at al.* 2015). SARS-CoV-2 is a betacoronavirus (Wassenaar and Zou 2020) of the subgenus *Sarbecoronavirus* (Boni *et al.* 2020). Rhinolophidae and Hipposeridae– appear to have had an important role in the evolution of β -coronaviruses and Vespertilionidae and Miniopteridae with α -coronaviruses (Latinne *et al.* 2020).

Bats are reservoirs of coronaviruses (Guan *et al.* 2003, Li *et al.* 2005, Corman *et al.* 2014, Ge *et al.* 2015, Schneeberger and Voigt 2016, Anthony *et al.* 2017, Forni *et al.* 2017, Tao *et al.* 2017, Lau *et al.* 2018, Cui *et al.* 2019) and host the highest coronavirus diversity among mammals (Drexler *et al.* 2014, Wong *et al.* 2019). It seems that bats may well have been the progenitors of SARS-CoV-2 with phylogenetic analysis implicating horseshoe bats

(*Rhinolophus* spp.) in eastern Asia as the most likely candidates (Latinne *et al.* 2020, Boni *et al.* 2020).

Cave-dwelling bats are often faithful to their roost sites and will occupy a cave for life and even for hundreds of generations (Altringham 2011). Temperature, humidity and cavity size are considered the most important factors determining the choice of caves as roosts by bats and microclimate is regulated further by the behaviour of the bats themselves, and these vary according to species, geography and season (Twente 1955, Dwyer 1971, Raesly and Gates 1987, Perry 2013). In tropical bats, physical protection from predators, relative constancy of temperatures (typically lower than in the exterior and moving to cooler parts of the roost during heat stress), lower levels of illumination and high humidity (though not the prime determining factor) determine the choice of roosts (Usman 1988). Although warm roosts provide significant benefits to bats (Dechmann et al. 2004), extremely high temperatures are stressful (Downs et al. 2015) and are avoided except in extraordinary circumstances (Bondarenco et al. 2014). In cave-dwelling bats, ambient temperatures in the >38°C would appear to be on the limit of tolerance (Czenze et al. 2019). Given the use of caves with ambient temperatures ranging between 2 and 10°C for hibernation in temperate environments (Perry 2013), it would appear that some bats (including Rhinolophidae, Vespertilionidae and Miniopteridae; Dwyer 1971) have a broad ambient temperature tolerance (aided by torpor) from ~0°C to ~35°C. In temperate North American vespertilionid bats, caves range from 60 to 100% relative humidity (Perry 2013).

Darkness is a major factor in choice of roost sites as it affords protection from predators, and the darkest parts of caves may be used by some species provided they have temperature constancy (Usman 1988). Among the few fruit bats (Pteropodidae) known to use caves, the echolocating *Rousettus* penetrate into the darker regions of caves; Vespertilionidae and Miniopteridae use dark areas of caves and Hipposideridae and Rhinolophidae are typical of the deepest areas of caves (Altringham 2011).

Our results are therefore in keeping with the observed characteristics of the bat-coronavirus ecological niche, specifically showing a consistent aversion to daylight (UV-B), modulated, in some cases, by a preference for cool and moderately humid climatic conditions.

The SARS-CoV-2 ecological niche - ultraviolet radiation, temperature and relative humidity

Coronaviruses have been found to display marked winter seasonality comparable to the pattern seen with influenza viruses (Gaunt *et al.* 2010). Recently, Schuit and colleagues (2020) confirmed epidemiological findings that sunlight levels were inversely correlated with influenza transmission, a finding that was suggested could assist in improved understanding of the spread of the virus under varied environmental conditions. Solar radiation, principally through the action of UVR, is known to have a direct effect on pathogen fitness including viral infections (Abhimanyu and Coussens 2017). It is a major factor threatening the life and activity of many microorganisms suspended in the atmosphere (Madronich *et al.* 2018). Airborne infectious animal viruses, including a coronavirus and an adenovirus, have been shown to have UV susceptibility, being higher in viral aerosols than in viral liquid suspensions (Walker and Ko 2007). SARS-CoV was inactivated by UV light at 254nm under laboratory conditions (Darnell *et al.* 2004). UV light irradiation for 60 min on the SARS-CoV in culture medium resulted in the destruction of viral infectivity (Duan *et al.* 2003).

There is an emerging literature on the impact of climatic factors such as temperature (e.g. Huang *et al.* 2020, Prata *et al.* 2020) and UV on SARS-CoV-2 at country (Sehra *et al.* 2020, Takagi *et al.* 2020) and global levels (Gunthe *et al.* 2020). Our data support and expand these

preliminary results, and provide an evolutionary backdrop to the nature of the SARS-CoV-2 ecological niche and its origins.

An early worldwide study linked the outbreak of the COVID-19 to temperature, wind speed and relative humidity in combination as predictors of the pandemic situation. SARS-CoV-2 transmission reached a peak when the air temperature was 8.07 °C, or when the wind speed was 16.1 mile/hr, or when the visibility was 2.99 statute miles to nearest tenth, or when the relative humidity was 64.6% (Chen et al. 2020). Liu et al. (2020) found that low temperatures, a mild diurnal temperature range and low humidity favoured the transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Another study in China showed that the incidence of the COVID-19 outbreak decreased as temperature increased, peaking at 10°C (Shi et al. 2020). In a worldwide analysis, Sjadi et al. (2020) found that the eight cities with substantial community spread of COVID-19 as of 10th March, 2020, were located on a narrow band, roughly on the 30° N to 50° N corridor. These cities had consistently similar weather patterns, consisting of mean temperatures of between 5 and 11°C, combined with low specific humidity (3-6 g/kg) and low absolute humidity (4-7 g/m3). COVID-19 deaths in Wuhan, China, were positively associated with diurnal temperature range and negatively with absolute humidity (Ma et al. 2020). A global comprehensive ecogeographical analysis demonstrated that although cases of COVID-19 were reported all over the world, most outbreaks displayed a pattern of clustering in relatively cool and dry areas (Araujo and Naimi 2020). Our results support these conclusions.

Our results demonstrate a clear association between UVR and the incidence of SARS-CoV-2, with temperature and relative humidity being significant but not generalised variables. Our results are therefore consistent with the direct effects of UVR on viral inactivation. In this regard, the long evolutionary association between coronaviruses and nocturnal mammals may

20

be a reflection of the ecological niche of the coronaviruses, natural selection having effectively delimited their niche outside the scope of ultraviolet radiation (Wertheim *et al.* 2013).

Environmental versus behavioural effects

If coronaviruses and bats have coevolved over millions of years, what has changed? We suggest that what has really changed has been human population growth and the vastly increased opportunities for cross-species viral jumps that result from increased human exploitation of the natural environment (e.g. Olivero *et al.* 2017 for Ebola). The problem is not with the bats, nor with the human-bat consumption at small-scale sustainable levels; the problem is with large-scale exploitation and human social behaviour at high density (Skórka *et al.* 2020).

In this paper we have shown clear relationships between SARS-CoV-2 and UVR, temperature and humidity but it is also clear that lockdown, an imposed form of social distancing, has been the key overriding factor in flattening the disease growth curve. Thus the significance of UVR and other climatic variables must be seen in a co-evolutionary context and providing the backdrop (Araujo and Naimi 2020). The lesson could be learnt, however, from the behaviour of bats. Thus human activities resembling those of highly social cave-dwelling bats (e.g. large nocturnal gatherings or high density indoor activities) will only serve to exacerbate the problem of COVID-19.

Declarations

All authors contributed to the manuscript and results. Geraldine Finlayson and Clive Finlayson participated in the conceptual design, data analysis, and drafting of the manuscript. Stewart Finlayson contributed to the data on bats and coronaviruses, and data analysis. Keith Bensusan contributed to data analysis. Rhian Guillem contributed with the literature review and references. Tyson L Holmes contributed to data on bats. Francisco Giles Guzmán contributed in the preparation of Figures. José S Carrión contributed on evolutionary ecology aspects. Lawrence Sawchuk contributed on epidemiological aspects. All authors participated in revisions of drafts of the manuscript including review of data analyses. All authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

Abhimanyu and Coussens, A. K. 2017. The role of UV radiation and vitamin D in the seasonality and outcomes of infectious disease. Photochem Photobiol Sci.; 16: 314-338. doi: 10.1039/C6PP00355A

Altringham, J. D. 2011. Bats. From Evolution to Conservation. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Andersen, K. G. et al. 2020. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. Nature Medicine. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0820-9

Anthony, S. J. et al. 2017. Further Evidence for Bats as the Evolutionary Source of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus mBio Apr 2017, 8 (2) e00373-17; doi: 10.1128/mBio.00373-17

Araujo, M. B. and Naimi B. 2020. Spread of SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus likely to be constrained by climate." *medRxiv* 2020; doi:10.1101/2020.03.12.20034728

Bondarenco A. et al. 2014. Hot bats: extreme thermal tolerance in a desert heat wave. Naturwissenschaften; 101: doi.org/10.1007/s00114-014-1202-2

Boni, M. F. et al. 2020. Evolutionary origins of the SARS-CoV-2 sarbecovirus lineage responsible for the COVID-19 pandemic. Nature Microbiology. doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-0771-4

Ceylan, Z. 2020. Estimation of COVID-19 prevalence in Italy, Spain, and France. Sci. Tot. Env.; 729 doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138817

Chen, B. et al. 2020. Roles of meteorological conditions in COVID-19 transmission on a worldwide scale. medRxiv preprint, 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.03.16.20037168.

23

Corman, V. M. et al. 2014. Rooting the Phylogenetic Tree of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus by Characterization of a Conspecific Virus from an African Bat. J. Virol.; 88: 11297-11303. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01498-14

CSSE (2020). Center for Systems Science and Engineering, Johns Hopkins University. [cited 2020 May 3]. Available from: <u>https://github.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19/blob/master/csse_covid_19_data/csse_covid_19_time_series/time_series_covid19_confir_med_global.csv</u>

Cui, J. et al. 2019. Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. Nature Reviews; 17: 181-192. doi: 10.1038/s41579-018-0118-9

Czenze, Z. J. et al. 2020. Bat thermoregulation in the heat: Limits to evaporative cooling capacity in three southern African bats. J. Therm. Biol. 89: 102542. doi: 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2020.102542

Darnell, M. E. R. et al. 2004. Inactivation of the coronavirus that induces severe acute respiratory syndrome, SARS-CoV. J Virol Methods 121: 85-91. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2004.06.006

Dechmann, D. K. N. et al. 2004. Ecology of an exceptional roost: energetic benefits could explain why the bat Lophostoma silvicolum roosts in active termite nests. Evol. Ecol. Res. **6**: 1037-1050. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/50911260_Ecology_of_an_exceptional_roost_Ener getic_benefits_could_explain_why_the_bat_Lophostoma_silvicolum_roosts_in_active_termit e_nests Downs, C. T. et al. 2015. Too Hot to Sleep? Sleep Behaviour and Surface Body Temperature of Wahlberg's Epauletted Fruit Bat. PLoS One doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119419.

Drexler, J. F. et al. 2014. Ecology, evolution and classification of bat coronaviruses in the aftermath of SARS. Antiviral Res. **101**: 45-56. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.10.013

Duan, S. M. et al. 2003. Stability of SARS coronavirus in human specimens and environment and its sensitivity to heating and UV irradiation. Biomed Environ Sci. **16**: 246–55. Available at: http://www.diniesturkiye.com/UV-against%20SARS-CORONA-MERS-VIRUS.pdf

Dwyer PD. Temperature regulation and cave-dwelling in bats: an evolutionary perspective. Mammalia; 1971; 35: 424-453. doi: 10.1515/mamm.1971.35.3.424

Flenley, J. 2007.Ultraviolet insolation and the tropical rainforest: Altitudinal variations, Quaternary and recent change, extinctions, and the evolution of biodiversity. In Bush M B, Flenley J R, Gosling W D (eds.). Tropical Forest Responses to Climate Change. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. pp. 219-235.

Forni, D. et al. 2017. Molecular Evolution of Human Coronavirus Genomes. Trends Microbiol. **25**: 35-48. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2016.09.001

Gatto, M. et al. 2020. Spread and dynamics of the COVID-19 epidemic in Italy: Effects of emergency containment measures. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **117**: 10484-10491. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2004978117

Gaunt, E. R. et al. 2010. Epidemiology and Clinical Presentations of the Four Human Coronaviruses 229E, HKU1, NL63, and OC43 Detected over 3 Years Using a Novel Multiplex Real-Time PCR Method. J Clinical Microbiol. **48**: 2940-2947. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00636-10

Ge, X. et al. 2015. Bat Coronaviruses. In Wang L, Cowled C, (Eds.). Bats and Viruses. A New frontier of Emerging Infectious Diseases. Wiley, Blackwell.

Guan, Y. et al. 2003. Isolation and Characterization of Viruses Related to the SARS Coronavirus from Animals in Southern China. Science, 302(5643), 276 LP – 278. doi: 10.1126/science.1087139

Gunthe, S. S. et al. 2020. On the global trends and spread of the COVID-19 outbreak: preliminary assessment of the potential relation between location-specific temperature and UV index. J Public Health (Berl.); doi:10.1007/s10389-020-01279-y

Huang, Z. et al. 2020. Optimal temperature zone for the dispersal of COVID-19. Science of The Total Environment, **736**: 139487. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139487

Kuzmin, I. V. et al. 2011. Bats, emerging infectious diseases, and the rabies paradigm revisited. Emerging Health Threats Journal, **4**: 7159. doi: 10.3402/ehtj.v4i0.7159

Latinne, A. et al. 2020. Origin and cross-species transmission of bat coronaviruses in China. bioRxiv preprint 2020 May 31.116061. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.31.116061

Lau, S. K. P. et al. 2018. Receptor Usage of a Novel Bat Lineage C Betacoronavirus
Reveals Evolution of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-Related Coronavirus Spike Proteins
for Human Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 Binding. J. Infect. Dis. 218:197-207. doi:
10.1093/infdis/jiy018

Li, Q. et al. 2020. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus– Infected Pneumonia. New England J. Med. **382**: 1199-1207. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001316 Li, W. et al. 2005. Bats are natural reservoirs of SARS-like coronaviruses. Science, **310**: 676-79. doi: 10.1126/science.1118391

Liu, J. et al. 2020. Impact of meteorological factors on the COVID-19 transmission: A multicity study in China. Sci. Tot. Env. **726**: 138513. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138513

Ma, Y. et al. 2020. Effects of temperature variation and humidity on the death of COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. Sci. Tot. Env. **724**: 138226. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138226

Madronich, S. et al. 2018. Solar UV radiation and microbial life in the atmosphere. Photochem Photobiol Sci. **17**: 1918-1931. doi: 10.1039/C7PP00407A

Mao, X. M. et al. 2010. Pleistocene climatic cycling drives intra-specific diversification in the intermediate horseshoe bat (Rhinolophus affinis) in Southern China. Mol. Ecol. **19**: 2754-2769. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04704.x

Mao, X. et al. 2013. Multiple cases of asymmetric introgression among horseshoe bats detected by phylogenetic conflicts across loci. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. **110**: 346-361. doi: 10.1111/bij.12138

Messenger, S. L. et al. 2003. Bats, emerging virus infections, and the rabies paradigm. In: Kunz T. H., Fenton M. B. (eds) Bat ecology. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago; pp 622–679.

Moriyama, M. et al. 2020. Seasonality of Respiratory Viral Infections. Ann. Rev. Virol. 7: 2.1-2.19. org 10.1146/annurev-virology-012420-022445

Olivero, J. et al. 2017. Recent loss of closed forests is associated with Ebola virus disease outbreaks. Scientific Reports, **7**: 14291. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-14727-9

OpenWeather (2020). Available from: https://openweathermap.org/history

Perry, R. W. A review of factors affecting cave climates for hibernating bats in temperate North America. Environ. Rev. 2013; 21: 28-39. doi: 10.1139/er-2012-0042

Prata, D. N. et al. 2020. Temperature significantly changes COVID-19 transmission in (sub)tropical cities of Brazil. Science of The Total Environment, **729**: 138862. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138862

Raesly, R. L and Gates, J. E. 1987. Winter Habitat Selection by North temperate Cave Bats. Amer. Midl. Nat. 1987; 118: 15-31.

Rowse, E. G. et al. 2016. Dark Matters: The Effects of Artificial Lighting on Bats. In Voigt C, Kingston T. (eds.). Bats in the Anthropocene: Conservation of Bats in a Changing World. Springer, Heidelberg.

Schneeberger, K. and Voigt, C. C. 2016. Zoonotic Viruses and Conservation of Bats. In Voigt CC Kingston T (Eds.), Bats in the Anthropocene: Conservation of Bats in a Changing World (pp. 263–292). Cham: Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-25220-9_10

Schuit, M. et al. 2020. The Influence of Simulated Sunlight on the Inactivation of Influenza Virus in Aerosols. J Infect Diseases **221**: 372-378. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiz582

Sehra, S. T. et al. 2020. Maximum Daily Temperature, Precipitation, Ultra-violet Light and Rates of Transmission of SARS-Cov-2 in the United States. Clinical Infectious Diseases. doi: doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa681

Shi, P. et al. 2020. Impact of temperature on the dynamics of the COVID-19 outbreak in China. Sci. Tot. Env. **728**: 138890. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138890

Skórka, P. et al. 2020. The macroecology of the COVID-19 pandemic in the Anthropocene. PLoS ONE. **15**: e0236856. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0236856

Takagi, H. et al. 2020. The higher temperature and ultraviolet, the lower COVID-19 prevalence – meta-regression of data from large US cities. American Journal of Infection Control, **000**: 1-4. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2020.06.181

Tan, J. et al. 2005. An initial investigation of the association between the SARS outbreak and weather: with the view of the environmental temperature and its variation. J Epidemiol Community Health **59**, 186-192. doi: 10.1136/jech.2004.020180.

Tao, Y. et al. 2017. Surveillance of Bat Coronaviruses in Kenya Identifies Relatives of Human Coronaviruses NL63 and 229E and Their Recombination History. J. Virol. **91**: e01953-16. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01953-16

Twente, J. W. 1955. Some Aspects of Habitat Selection and Other Behavior of Cavern-Dwelling Bats. Ecology **36**: 706-732. doi: 10.2307/1931308

Usman, K. 1988. Role of light and temperature in the roosting ecology of tropical microchiropteran bats. Proc. Indian. Acad. Sci. (Anim. Sci.) **97**: 551-559. Available from: https://www.ias.ac.in/article/fulltext/anml/097/06/0551-0559

Vijaykrishna, D. et al. 2007. Evolutionary Insights into the Ecology of Coronaviruses. J. Virol. **81**: 4012-4020. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02605-06

Walker, C. M. and Ko, G. 2007. Effect of Ultraviolet Germicidal Irradiation on Viral Aerosols. Environ Sci Technol. **41**: 5460-5465. doi: 10.1021/es070056u

Wassenaar, T. M. and Zou, Y. 2020. 2019_nCoV/SARS-CoV-2: rapid classification of betacoronaviruses and identification of Traditional Chinese Medicine as potential origin of zoonotic coronaviruses. Lett. App. Microbiol. **70**: 342-348. doi: 10.1111/lam.13285

Wertheim, J. O. et al. 2013. A Case for the Ancient Origins of Coronaviruses. J. Virol. 87: 7039-7045. doi: 10.1128/JVI.03273-12

Willoughby, A. R. and Phelps, K. L. 2017 PREDICT Consortium, Olival KJ. A
Comparative Analysis of Viral Richness and Viral Sharing in Cave-Roosting Bats. Diversity,
9: 35; doi:10.3390/d9030035.

Wilson, D. E. and Mittermeier, R. A. (Eds.). 2019. Handbook of the Mammals of the World. Vol 9 Bats. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.

Wong, A. C. P. et al. 2019. Global Epidemiology of Bat Coronaviruses. Viruses, **11**:174, doi:10.3390/v11020174.

You, Y. et al. 2010. Pleistocene glacial cycle effects on the phylogeography of the Chinese endemic bat species, *Myotis davidii*. BMC Evol. Biol. **10**: 208. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-10-208

Yuan, J. et al. 2006. A climatologic investigation of the SARS-CoV outbreak in Beijing, China. AJIC Brief Reports **34**, 234-236. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2005.12.006

Zhang, G. et al. 2013. Comparative Analysis of Bat Genomes Provides Insight into the Evolution of Flight and Immunity. Science, **339**: 456-460. doi: 10.1126/science.1230835

Zhou, P. et al. 2020. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature **579**: 270-273. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7

Appendix 1

a) Results of stepwise multiple regression of cases for Italy by climatic variables with zero, three-day, seven-day and fourteen-day time lags. **ITALY ZERO DAY LAG**

DE	SCRIPTI	/E STATISTI	CS
		STD.	
	MEAN	DEVIATION	Ν
CASES	1.6172	.40142	20
UV	.6969	.03957	20
т	2.4540	.00225	20
TMIN	2.4484	.00428	20
TMAX	2.4583	.00157	20
HUM	1.8020	.05126	20
WINDS	.4250	.10027	20
WINDD	2.1220	.08658	20
RAIN	-1.5349	.36233	20
CLOUDS	.8558	.33865	20

CORRELATIONS											
		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	HUM	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUDS
PEARSON	CASES	1.000	643	261	445	105	857	329	295	343	398
CORRELATION	UV	643	1.000	.187	.175	.076	.706	.408	.496	.427	.616

	т	261	.187	1.000	.864	.760	.308	.180	.125	380	229
	TMIN	445	.175	.864	1.000	.427	.492	.115	.327	146	288
	TMAX	105	.076	.760	.427	1.000	.153	.109	088	468	.012
	HUM	857	.706	.308	.492	.153	1.000	.357	.517	.336	.389
	WINDS	329	.408	.180	.115	.109	.357	1.000	.147	061	.182
	WINDD	295	.496	.125	.327	088	.517	.147	1.000	.483	.238
	RAIN	343	.427	380	146	468	.336	061	.483	1.000	.190
	CLOUDS	398	.616	229	288	.012	.389	.182	.238	.190	1.000
SIG. (1-TAILED)	CASES		.001	.133	.025	.329	.000	.078	.104	.069	.041
	UV	.001		.215	.230	.375	.000	.037	.013	.030	.002
	Т	.133	.215		.000	.000	.093	.223	.300	.049	.166
	TMIN	.025	.230	.000		.030	.014	.315	.080	.270	.109
	TMAX	.329	.375	.000	.030		.260	.324	.357	.019	.479
	HUM	.000	.000	.093	.014	.260		.061	.010	.074	.045
	WINDS	.078	.037	.223	.315	.324	.061		.268	.400	.221
	WINDD	.104	.013	.300	.080	.357	.010	.268		.016	.156
	RAIN	.069	.030	.049	.270	.019	.074	.400	.016		.211
	CLOUDS	.041	.002	.166	.109	.479	.045	.221	.156	.211	
Ν	CASES	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	UV	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	Т	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMAX	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	HUM	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	WINDS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	WINDD	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

RAIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
CLOUDS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

VARIABLES ENTERED/REMOVED^A

	VARIABLES	VARIABLES	
MODEL	ENTERED	REMOVED	METHOD
1	HUM		Stepwise
			(Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

	Model Summary ^b											
					Change Statistics							
			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	R Square							
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change			
1	.857ª	.734	.720	.21254	.734	49.774	1	18	.000			

a. Predictors: (Constant), HUM

b. Dependent Variable: CASES

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	2.248	1	2.248	49.774	.000 ^b
	Residual	.813	18	.045		
	Total	3.062	19			

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), HUM

	Coefficients ^a												
				Standardized									
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF		
1	(Constant)	13.711	1.715		7.995	.000							
	НИМ	-6.711	.951	857	-7.055	.000	857	857	857	1.000	1.000		

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

	Excluded Variables ^a											
						Collinearity Statistics						
Partial								Minimum				
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance				
1	UV	076 ^b	431	.672	104	.501	1.996	.501				
	Т	.003 ^b	.022	.983	.005	.905	1.105	.905				
	TMIN	031 ^b	218	.830	053	.758	1.319	.758				
	TMAX	.026 ^b	.209	.837	.051	.977	1.024	.977				
	WINDS	026 ^b	196	.847	048	.872	1.147	.872				
	WINDD	.202 ^b	1.472	.159	.336	.733	1.365	.733				

RAIN	062 ^b	471	.644	114	.887	1.127	.887
CLOUDS	075 ^b	560	.583	134	.848	1.179	.848

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), HUM

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Proportions		
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	HUM	
1	1	2.000	1.000	.00	.00	
	2	.000	72.153	1.00	1.00	

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Residuals Statistics^a

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
Predicted Value	.9677	2.0934	1.6172	.34401	20
Std. Predicted Value	-1.888	1.384	.000	1.000	20
Standard Error of Predicted	.048	.104	.066	.015	20
Value					
Adjusted Predicted Value	.9385	2.1666	1.6159	.34956	20
Residual	44058	.38176	.00000	.20687	20
Std. Residual	-2.073	1.796	.000	.973	20
Stud. Residual	-2.127	1.848	.003	1.023	20
Deleted Residual	48505	.40398	.00130	.22871	20
Stud. Deleted Residual	-2.389	1.995	017	1.092	20

Mahal. Distance	.000	3.566	.950	.927	20
Cook's Distance	.000	.393	.053	.087	20
Centered Leverage Value	.000	.188	.050	.049	20

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Italy Zero Day Lag without Humidity

	Dooonipu		
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	1.6172	.40142	20
UV	.6969	.03957	20
т	2.4540	.00225	20
TMIN	2.4484	.00428	20
TMAX	2.4583	.00157	20
WINDS	.4250	.10027	20
WINDD	2.1220	.08658	20
RAIN	-1.5349	.36233	20
CLOUDS	.8558	.33865	20

Descriptive Statistics

				Corre	lations					
		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUDS
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	643	261	445	105	329	295	343	398
	UV	643	1.000	.187	.175	.076	.408	.496	.427	.616
	т	261	.187	1.000	.864	.760	.180	.125	380	229
	TMIN	445	.175	.864	1.000	.427	.115	.327	146	288
	TMAX	105	.076	.760	.427	1.000	.109	088	468	.012
-----------------	--------	------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------
	WINDS	329	.408	.180	.115	.109	1.000	.147	061	.182
	WINDD	295	.496	.125	.327	088	.147	1.000	.483	.238
	RAIN	343	.427	380	146	468	061	.483	1.000	.190
	CLOUDS	398	.616	229	288	.012	.182	.238	.190	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.001	.133	.025	.329	.078	.104	.069	.041
	UV	.001		.215	.230	.375	.037	.013	.030	.002
	т	.133	.215		.000	.000	.223	.300	.049	.166
	TMIN	.025	.230	.000		.030	.315	.080	.270	.109
	TMAX	.329	.375	.000	.030		.324	.357	.019	.479
	WINDS	.078	.037	.223	.315	.324		.268	.400	.221
	WINDD	.104	.013	.300	.080	.357	.268		.016	.156
	RAIN	.069	.030	.049	.270	.019	.400	.016		.211
	CLOUDS	.041	.002	.166	.109	.479	.221	.156	.211	
N	CASES	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	UV	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	т	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMAX	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	WINDS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	WINDD	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	RAIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	CLOUDS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	UV		Stepwise
			(Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

Model Summary^b

			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	Change Statistics				
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.643ª	.414	.381	.31577	.414	12.705	1	18	.002

a. Predictors: (Constant), UV

b. Dependent Variable: CASES

ANOVAª									
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
1	Regression	1.267	1	1.267	12.705	.002 ^b			
	Residual	1.795	18	.100					
	Total	3.062	19						

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV

					Coefficier	nts ^a					
				Standardized							
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	6.165	1.278		4.825	.000					
	UV	-6.525	1.831	643	-3.564	.002	643	643	643	1.000	1.000

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

				Excluded	i variables ^a			
						C	Collinearity Sta	tistics
								Minimum
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
1	Т	146 ^b	787	.442	187	.965	1.036	.965
	TMIN	343 ^b	-2.026	.059	441	.969	1.032	.969
	TMAX	057 ^b	306	.763	074	.994	1.006	.994
	WINDS	080 ^b	397	.697	096	.834	1.199	.834
	WINDD	.032 ^b	.149	.883	.036	.754	1.326	.754
	RAIN	084 ^b	409	.688	099	.818	1.223	.818
	CLOUDS	002 ^b	008	.994	002	.620	1.613	.620

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Pr	oportions
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	UV
1	1	1.998	1.000	.00	.00
	2	.002	36.165	1.00	1.00

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Residuals Statistics^a

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
Predicted Value	1.1384	1.9578	1.6172	.25821	20
Std. Predicted Value	-1.854	1.319	.000	1.000	20
Standard Error of Predicted	.071	.152	.098	.022	20
Value					
Adjusted Predicted Value	1.1535	1.9963	1.6187	.25757	20
Residual	49559	.86468	.00000	.30735	20
Std. Residual	-1.569	2.738	.000	.973	20
Stud. Residual	-1.626	2.874	002	1.018	20
Deleted Residual	53208	.95247	00147	.33625	20
Stud. Deleted Residual	-1.711	3.797	.044	1.173	20
Mahal. Distance	.023	3.439	.950	.890	20
Cook's Distance	.002	.419	.047	.091	20
Centered Leverage Value	.001	.181	.050	.047	20

Italy 3 Day Lag

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	1.6201	.40253	20
UV	.6969	.03957	20
т	2.4540	.00225	20
TMIN	2.4484	.00428	20
TMAX	2.4583	.00157	20
HUMIDITY	1.8020	.05126	20
WINDS	.4250	.10027	20
WINDD	2.1220	.08658	20
RAIN	-1.5349	.36233	20
CLOUDS	.8558	.33865	20

	Correlations										
		CASES	UV	т	TMIN	TMAX	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUDS
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	641	260	446	100	858	329	295	341	395
	UV	641	1.000	.187	.175	.076	.706	.408	.496	.427	.616
	т	260	.187	1.000	.864	.760	.308	.180	.125	380	229
	TMIN	446	.175	.864	1.000	.427	.492	.115	.327	146	288
	TMAX	100	.076	.760	.427	1.000	.153	.109	088	468	.012
	HUMIDITY	858	.706	.308	.492	.153	1.000	.357	.517	.336	.389
	WINDS	329	.408	.180	.115	.109	.357	1.000	.147	061	.182

	WINDD	295	.496	.125	.327	088	.517	.147	1.000	.483	.238
	RAIN	341	.427	380	146	468	.336	061	.483	1.000	.190
	CLOUDS	395	.616	229	288	.012	.389	.182	.238	.190	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.001	.134	.024	.337	.000	.078	.103	.070	.043
	UV	.001		.215	.230	.375	.000	.037	.013	.030	.002
	Т	.134	.215		.000	.000	.093	.223	.300	.049	.166
	TMIN	.024	.230	.000		.030	.014	.315	.080	.270	.109
	TMAX	.337	.375	.000	.030		.260	.324	.357	.019	.479
	HUMIDITY	.000	.000	.093	.014	.260		.061	.010	.074	.045
	WINDS	.078	.037	.223	.315	.324	.061		.268	.400	.221
	WINDD	.103	.013	.300	.080	.357	.010	.268		.016	.156
	RAIN	.070	.030	.049	.270	.019	.074	.400	.016		.211
	CLOUDS	.043	.002	.166	.109	.479	.045	.221	.156	.211	
Ν	CASES	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	UV	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	Т	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMAX	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	HUMIDITY	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	WINDS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	WINDD	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	RAIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	CLOUDS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	HUMIDITY		Stepwise (Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

Model	Summary
	-

	Woder Summary									
			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	Change Statistics					
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change	
1	.858ª	.737	.722	.21216	.737	50.393	1	18	.000	

a. Predictors: (Constant), HUMIDITY

ANOVAª										
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
1	Regression	2.268	1	2.268	50.393	.000 ^b				
	Residual	.810	18	.045						
	Total	3.079	19							

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), HUMIDITY

	Coefficients ^a											
				Standardized								
Unstandardized Coefficients			ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics	
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF	
1	(Constant)	13.767	1.712		8.043	.000						
	HUMIDITY	-6.741	.950	858	-7.099	.000	858	858	858	1.000	1.000	

					variabics			
						C	Collinearity Stat	tistics
								Minimum
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
1	UV	069 ^b	396	.697	096	.501	1.996	.501
	Т	.005 ^b	.038	.970	.009	.905	1.105	.905
	TMIN	032 ^b	224	.826	054	.758	1.319	.758
	TMAX	.032 ^b	.254	.802	.062	.977	1.024	.977
	WINDS	025 ^b	191	.851	046	.872	1.147	.872
	WINDD	.203 ^b	1.487	.155	.339	.733	1.365	.733
	RAIN	060 ^b	455	.655	110	.887	1.127	.887
	CLOUDS	071 ^b	530	.603	127	.848	1.179	.848

Excluded Variables^a

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), HUMIDITY

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Proportions		
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	HUMIDITY	
1	1	2.000	1.000	.00	.00	
	2	.000	72.153	1.00	1.00	

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Italy 3 Day Lag without Humidity

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	1.6201	.40253	20
UV	.6969	.03957	20
Т	2.4540	.00225	20
TMIN	2.4484	.00428	20
TMAX	2.4583	.00157	20
WINDS	.4250	.10027	20
WINDD	2.1220	.08658	20
RAIN	-1.5349	.36233	20
CLOUDS	.8558	.33865	20

Correlations										
		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUDS
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	641	260	446	100	329	295	341	395
	UV	641	1.000	.187	.175	.076	.408	.496	.427	.616

	Т	260	.187	1.000	.864	.760	.180	.125	380	229
	TMIN	446	.175	.864	1.000	.427	.115	.327	146	288
	TMAX	100	.076	.760	.427	1.000	.109	088	468	.012
	WINDS	329	.408	.180	.115	.109	1.000	.147	061	.182
	WINDD	295	.496	.125	.327	088	.147	1.000	.483	.238
	RAIN	341	.427	380	146	468	061	.483	1.000	.190
	CLOUDS	395	.616	229	288	.012	.182	.238	.190	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.001	.134	.024	.337	.078	.103	.070	.043
	UV	.001		.215	.230	.375	.037	.013	.030	.002
	Т	.134	.215		.000	.000	.223	.300	.049	.166
	TMIN	.024	.230	.000		.030	.315	.080	.270	.109
	TMAX	.337	.375	.000	.030		.324	.357	.019	.479
	WINDS	.078	.037	.223	.315	.324		.268	.400	.221
	WINDD	.103	.013	.300	.080	.357	.268		.016	.156
	RAIN	.070	.030	.049	.270	.019	.400	.016		.211
	CLOUDS	.043	.002	.166	.109	.479	.221	.156	.211	-
Ν	CASES	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	UV	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	Т	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMAX	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	WINDS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	WINDD	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	RAIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	CLOUDS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

	Variables Entered/Removed ^a										
		Variables									
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method								
1	UV		Stepwise								
			(Criteria:								
			Probability-of-F-								
			to-enter <= .050,								
			Probability-of-F-								
			to-remove >=								
			.100).								

Model Summary

			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	Change Statistics				
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.641ª	.411	.378	.31741	.411	12.557	1	18	.002

a. Predictors: (Constant), UV

	ANOVA ^a											
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.						
1	Regression	1.265	1	1.265	12.557	.002 ^b						
	Residual	1.813	18	.101								
	Total	3.079	19									

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV

	Coefficients ^a												
				Standardized									
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF		
1	(Constant)	6.165	1.284		4.800	.000							
	UV	-6.521	1.840	641	-3.544	.002	641	641	641	1.000	1.000		

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

						C	Collinearity Sta	tistics						
								Minimum						
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance						
1	Т	145 ^b	779	.447	186	.965	1.036	.965						
	TMIN	345 ^b	-2.031	.058	442	.969	1.032	.969						
	TMAX	052 ^b	278	.784	067	.994	1.006	.994						
	WINDS	081 ^b	400	.694	097	.834	1.199	.834						
	WINDD	.030 ^b	.142	.889	.034	.754	1.326	.754						
	RAIN	083 ^b	404	.691	098	.818	1.223	.818						
	CLOUDS	.001 ^b	.004	.997	.001	.620	1.613	.620						

Excluded Variables^a

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV

	Collinearity Diagnostics ^a											
				Variance Pr	oportions							
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	UV							
1	1	1.998	1.000	.00	.00							
	2	.002	36.165	1.00	1.00							

Italy 7 Day Lag

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	1.6113	.39924	20
UV	.6969	.03957	20
т	2.4540	.00225	20
TMIN	2.4484	.00428	20
TMAX	2.4583	.00157	20
HUMIDITY	1.8020	.05126	20
WINDS	.4250	.10027	20
WINDD	2.1220	.08658	20
RAIN	-1.5349	.36233	20
CLOUD	.8558	.33865	20

Correlations

		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUD
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	631	265	450	103	858	338	280	321	386
	UV	631	1.000	.187	.175	.076	.706	.408	.496	.427	.616
	Т	265	.187	1.000	.864	.760	.308	.180	.125	380	229
	TMIN	450	.175	.864	1.000	.427	.492	.115	.327	146	288
	TMAX	103	.076	.760	.427	1.000	.153	.109	088	468	.012
	HUMIDITY	858	.706	.308	.492	.153	1.000	.357	.517	.336	.389
	WINDS	338	.408	.180	.115	.109	.357	1.000	.147	061	.182
	WINDD	280	.496	.125	.327	088	.517	.147	1.000	.483	.238
	RAIN	321	.427	380	146	468	.336	061	.483	1.000	.190
	CLOUD	386	.616	229	288	.012	.389	.182	.238	.190	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.001	.129	.023	.333	.000	.073	.116	.084	.046
	UV	.001		.215	.230	.375	.000	.037	.013	.030	.002
	Т	.129	.215		.000	.000	.093	.223	.300	.049	.166
	TMIN	.023	.230	.000		.030	.014	.315	.080	.270	.109
	TMAX	.333	.375	.000	.030		.260	.324	.357	.019	.479
	HUMIDITY	.000	.000	.093	.014	.260		.061	.010	.074	.045
	WINDS	.073	.037	.223	.315	.324	.061		.268	.400	.221
	WINDD	.116	.013	.300	.080	.357	.010	.268		.016	.156
	RAIN	.084	.030	.049	.270	.019	.074	.400	.016		.211
	CLOUD	.046	.002	.166	.109	.479	.045	.221	.156	.211	
Ν	CASES	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	UV	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	Т	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMAX	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

HUMIDITY	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
WINDS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
WINDD	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
RAIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
CLOUD	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	HUMIDITY		Stepwise (Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Model Summary

			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the		Change Statistics				
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change	
1	.858ª	.736	.721	.21084	.736	50.127	1	18	.000	

a. Predictors: (Constant), HUMIDITY

			ANOVA ^a			
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	2.228	1	2.228	50.127	.000 ^b
	Residual	.800	18	.044		
	Total	3.029	19			

b. Predictors: (Constant), HUMIDITY

	Coefficients ^a												
				Standardized									
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF		
1	(Constant)	13.651	1.701		8.024	.000							
	HUMIDITY	-6.681	.944	858	-7.080	.000	858	858	858	1.000	1.000		

	Excluded Variables ^a												
						Collinearity Statistics							
								Minimum					
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance					
1	UV	049 ^b	281	.782	068	.501	1.996	.501					
	Т	001 ^b	009	.993	002	.905	1.105	.905					
	TMIN	037 ^b	258	.799	062	.758	1.319	.758					
	TMAX	.029 ^b	.230	.821	.056	.977	1.024	.977					

WINDS	036 ^b	269	.791	065	.872	1.147	.872
WINDD	.223 ^b	1.646	.118	.371	.733	1.365	.733
RAIN	037 ^b	277	.785	067	.887	1.127	.887
CLOUD	062 ^b	457	.653	110	.848	1.179	.848

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), HUMIDITY

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Proportions		
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	HUMIDITY	
1	1	2.000	1.000	.00	.00	
	2	.000	72.153	1.00	1.00	

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Italy 7 Day Lag without Humidity

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
CASES	1.6113	.39924	20
UV	.6969	.03957	20
т	2.4540	.00225	20
TMIN	2.4484	.00428	20
TMAX	2.4583	.00157	20
WINDS	.4250	.10027	20
WINDD	2.1220	.08658	20

RAIN	-1.5349	.36233	20
CLOUD	.8558	.33865	20

				Correi	ations					
		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUD
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	631	265	450	103	338	280	321	386
	UV	631	1.000	.187	.175	.076	.408	.496	.427	.616
	Т	265	.187	1.000	.864	.760	.180	.125	380	229
	TMIN	450	.175	.864	1.000	.427	.115	.327	146	288
	TMAX	103	.076	.760	.427	1.000	.109	088	468	.012
	WINDS	338	.408	.180	.115	.109	1.000	.147	061	.182
	WINDD	280	.496	.125	.327	088	.147	1.000	.483	.238
	RAIN	321	.427	380	146	468	061	.483	1.000	.190
	CLOUD	386	.616	229	288	.012	.182	.238	.190	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.001	.129	.023	.333	.073	.116	.084	.046
	UV	.001		.215	.230	.375	.037	.013	.030	.002
	Т	.129	.215		.000	.000	.223	.300	.049	.166
	TMIN	.023	.230	.000		.030	.315	.080	.270	.109
	TMAX	.333	.375	.000	.030		.324	.357	.019	.479
	WINDS	.073	.037	.223	.315	.324		.268	.400	.221
	WINDD	.116	.013	.300	.080	.357	.268		.016	.156
	RAIN	.084	.030	.049	.270	.019	.400	.016		.211
	CLOUD	.046	.002	.166	.109	.479	.221	.156	.211	
Ν	CASES	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	UV	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

Correlations

Т	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
TMIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
TMAX	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
WINDS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
WIND	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
RAIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
CLOUI	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	UV		Stepwise
			(Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

	Model Summary										
			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	Change Statistics						
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change		
1	.631ª	.398	.364	.31832	.398	11.888	1	18	.003		

a. Predictors: (Constant), UV

	ANOVA ^a											
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.						
1	Regression	1.205	1	1.205	11.888	.003 ^b						
	Residual	1.824	18	.101								
	Total	3.029	19									

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV

	Coefficients ^a											
				Standardized								
	Unstandardized Coefficients		ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics	
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF	
1	(Constant)	6.046	1.288		4.694	.000						
	UV	-6.363	1.845	631	-3.448	.003	631	631	631	1.000	1.000	

	Excluded Variables ^a										
						(Collinearity Sta	tistics			
								Minimum			
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance			
1	т	153 ^b	812	.428	193	.965	1.036	.965			

TMIN 350 ^b -2.043 .057 444 .969 1.032	.969
TMAX055 ^b 294 .772071 .994 1.006	.994
WINDS097 ^b 473 .642114 .834 1.199	.834
WINDD .043 ^b .197 .846 .048 .754 1.326	.754
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	818
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.010

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Proportions		
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	UV	
1	1	1.998	1.000	.00	.00	
	2	.002	36.165	1.00	1.00	

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Italy 14 Day Lag

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	1.5426	.39272	20
UV	.6969	.03957	20
т	2.4540	.00225	20
TMIN	2.4484	.00428	20
TMAX	2.4583	.00157	20

HUMIDITY	1.8020	.05126	20
WINDS	.4250	.10027	20
WINDD	2.1220	.08658	20
RAIN	-1.5349	.36233	20
CLOUD	.8558	.33865	20

				C	orrelation	S					
		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUD
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	648	261	438	085	853	386	268	285	397
	UV	648	1.000	.187	.175	.076	.706	.408	.496	.427	.616
	Т	261	.187	1.000	.864	.760	.308	.180	.125	380	229
	TMIN	438	.175	.864	1.000	.427	.492	.115	.327	146	288
	TMAX	085	.076	.760	.427	1.000	.153	.109	088	468	.012
	HUMIDITY	853	.706	.308	.492	.153	1.000	.357	.517	.336	.389
	WINDS	386	.408	.180	.115	.109	.357	1.000	.147	061	.182
	WINDD	268	.496	.125	.327	088	.517	.147	1.000	.483	.238
	RAIN	285	.427	380	146	468	.336	061	.483	1.000	.190
	CLOUD	397	.616	229	288	.012	.389	.182	.238	.190	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.001	.134	.027	.360	.000	.047	.127	.112	.041
	UV	.001		.215	.230	.375	.000	.037	.013	.030	.002
	Т	.134	.215		.000	.000	.093	.223	.300	.049	.166
	TMIN	.027	.230	.000		.030	.014	.315	.080	.270	.109
	TMAX	.360	.375	.000	.030		.260	.324	.357	.019	.479
	HUMIDITY	.000	.000	.093	.014	.260		.061	.010	.074	.045
	WINDS	.047	.037	.223	.315	.324	.061		.268	.400	.221

	WINDD	.127	.013	.300	.080	.357	.010	.268		.016	.156
	RAIN	.112	.030	.049	.270	.019	.074	.400	.016		.211
	CLOUD	.041	.002	.166	.109	.479	.045	.221	.156	.211	
N	CASES	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	UV	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	т	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMAX	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	HUMIDITY	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	WINDS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	WINDD	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	RAIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	CLOUD	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	HUMIDITY		Stepwise (Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

Model Summary

			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	Change Statistics				
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.853ª	.728	.713	.21053	.728	48.112	1	18	.000

a. Predictors: (Constant), HUMIDITY

	ANOVAª										
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
1	Regression	2.132	1	2.132	48.112	.000 ^b					
	Residual	.798	18	.044							
	Total	2.930	19								

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), HUMIDITY

	Coefficients ^a												
				Standardized									
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF		
1	(Constant)	13.321	1.699		7.842	.000							
	HUMIDITY	-6.536	.942	853	-6.936	.000	853	853	853	1.000	1.000		

						C	Collinearity Sta	tistics
								Minimum
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
1	UV	091 ^b	513	.615	123	.501	1.996	.501
	Т	.003 ^b	.019	.985	.005	.905	1.105	.905
	TMIN	024 ^b	164	.872	040	.758	1.319	.758
	TMAX	.046 ^b	.361	.722	.087	.977	1.024	.977
	WINDS	092 ^b	691	.499	165	.872	1.147	.872
	WINDD	.237 ^b	1.739	.100	.389	.733	1.365	.733
	RAIN	.002 ^b	.015	.988	.004	.887	1.127	.887
	CLOUD	077 ^b	563	.580	135	.848	1.179	.848

Excluded Variables^a

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), HUMIDITY

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Proportions			
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	HUMIDITY		
1	1	2.000	1.000	.00	.00		
	2	.000	72.153	1.00	1.00		

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Italy 14 Day Lag without Humidity

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	1.5426	.39272	20
UV	.6969	.03957	20
т	2.4540	.00225	20
TMIN	2.4484	.00428	20
TMAX	2.4583	.00157	20
WINDS	.4250	.10027	20
WINDD	2.1220	.08658	20
RAIN	-1.5349	.36233	20
CLOUD	.8558	.33865	20

	Correlations										
		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUD	
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	648	261	438	085	386	268	285	397	
	UV	648	1.000	.187	.175	.076	.408	.496	.427	.616	
	Т	261	.187	1.000	.864	.760	.180	.125	380	229	
	TMIN	438	.175	.864	1.000	.427	.115	.327	146	288	
	TMAX	085	.076	.760	.427	1.000	.109	088	468	.012	
	WINDS	386	.408	.180	.115	.109	1.000	.147	061	.182	
	WINDD	268	.496	.125	.327	088	.147	1.000	.483	.238	
	RAIN	285	.427	380	146	468	061	.483	1.000	.190	
	CLOUD	397	.616	229	288	.012	.182	.238	.190	1.000	
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.001	.134	.027	.360	.047	.127	.112	.041	
	UV	.001		.215	.230	.375	.037	.013	.030	.002	

	Т	.134	.215		.000	.000	.223	.300	.049	.166
	TMIN	.027	.230	.000		.030	.315	.080	.270	.109
	TMAX	.360	.375	.000	.030		.324	.357	.019	.479
	WINDS	.047	.037	.223	.315	.324		.268	.400	.221
	WINDD	.127	.013	.300	.080	.357	.268		.016	.156
	RAIN	.112	.030	.049	.270	.019	.400	.016		.211
	CLOUD	.041	.002	.166	.109	.479	.221	.156	.211	
N	CASES	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	UV	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	т	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	TMAX	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	WINDS	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	WINDD	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	RAIN	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	CLOUD	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method

1	UV	. Stepwise
		(Criteria:
		Probability-of-F-
		to-enter <= .050,
		Probability-of-F-
		to-remove >=
		.100).

				Model	Summary				
Adjusted R Std. Error of the Change Statistics									
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.648 ^a	.420	.388	.30724	.420	13.044	1	18	.002

a. Predictors: (Constant), UV

	ANOVAª										
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
1	Regression	1.231	1	1.231	13.044	.002 ^b					
	Residual	1.699	18	.094							
	Total	2.930	19								

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV

Coefficients^a

				Standardized							
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	6.026	1.243		4.847	.000					
	UV	-6.433	1.781	648	-3.612	.002	648	648	648	1.000	1.000

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

				Exclude	d Variables ^a			
						C	Collinearity Sta	tistics
								Minimum
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
1	Т	144 ^b	781	.446	186	.965	1.036	.965
	TMIN	334 ^b	-1.976	.065	432	.969	1.032	.969
	TMAX	037 ^b	197	.846	048	.994	1.006	.994
	WINDS	145 ^b	730	.475	174	.834	1.199	.834
	WINDD	.071 ^b	.336	.741	.081	.754	1.326	.754
	RAIN	010 ^b	048	.962	012	.818	1.223	.818
	CLOUD	.004 ^b	.015	.988	.004	.620	1.613	.620

-

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

Eigenvalue Condition Index Variance Proportions

				(Constant)	UV
1	1	1.998	1.000	.00	.00
	2	.002	36.165	1.00	1.00

b) Results of stepwise multiple regression of cases for Spain by climatic variables with zero, three-day, seven-day and fourteen-day time lags. Spain Zero Day Lag

	Descripti	ve Statistics	
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	1.9263	.34526	19
UV	.7743	.05073	19
Т	2.4564	.00351	19
TMIN	2.4518	.00448	19

Departmenting Statistics

TMAX	2.4598	.00329	19
HUMIDITY	1.8771	.02233	19
WINDS	.4526	.10106	19
WINDD	2.1274	.08436	19
REAIN	-1.2485	.59064	19
CLOUDS	1.5674	.08462	19

				Ç	correlation	S					
		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	REAIN	CLOUDS
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	610	782	665	804	.363	463	136	.080	.214
	UV	610	1.000	.721	.696	.685	654	.514	204	179	200
	Т	782	.721	1.000	.931	.953	521	.366	.152	260	310
	TMIN	665	.696	.931	1.000	.822	453	.447	.042	253	477
	TMAX	804	.685	.953	.822	1.000	592	.269	.192	311	179
	HUMIDITY	.363	654	521	453	592	1.000	044	279	.347	.147
	WINDS	463	.514	.366	.447	.269	044	1.000	281	141	.116
	WINDD	136	204	.152	.042	.192	279	281	1.000	.272	214
	REAIN	.080	179	260	253	311	.347	141	.272	1.000	303
	CLOUDS	.214	200	310	477	179	.147	.116	214	303	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.003	.000	.001	.000	.063	.023	.290	.372	.189
	UV	.003		.000	.000	.001	.001	.012	.201	.232	.206
	т	.000	.000		.000	.000	.011	.062	.267	.141	.098
	TMIN	.001	.000	.000		.000	.026	.027	.432	.148	.019
	TMAX	.000	.001	.000	.000		.004	.133	.216	.098	.232
	HUMIDITY	.063	.001	.011	.026	.004		.430	.124	.073	.274

	WINDS	.023	.012	.062	.027	.133	.430		.122	.283	.318
	WINDD	.290	.201	.267	.432	.216	.124	.122		.130	.189
	REAIN	.372	.232	.141	.148	.098	.073	.283	.130		.103
	CLOUDS	.189	.206	.098	.019	.232	.274	.318	.189	.103	
Ν	CASES	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	UV	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	Т	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	TMIN	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	TMAX	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	HUMIDITY	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	WINDS	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	WINDD	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	REAIN	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	CLOUDS	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	TMAX		Stepwise (Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

Model Summary

			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	Change Statistics				
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.804ª	.647	.626	.21116	.647	31.124	1	17	.000

a. Predictors: (Constant), TMAX

	ANOVA ^a										
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
1	Regression	1.388	1	1.388	31.124	.000 ^b					
	Residual	.758	17	.045							
	Total	2.146	18								

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), TMAX

	Coefficients ^a											
				Standardized								
		Unstandardize	d Coefficients	Coefficients			Correlations		Collinearity Statistics			
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF	
1	(Constant)	209.616	37.228		5.631	.000						
	ТМАХ	-84.433	15.134	804	-5.579	.000	804	804	804	1.000	1.000	

					valiables			
						C	Collinearity Statistics	
								Minimum
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
1	UV	111 ^b	548	.592	136	.531	1.884	.531
	Т	173 ^b	354	.728	088	.092	10.895	.092
	TMIN	012 ^b	047	.963	012	.325	3.077	.325
	HUMIDITY	174 ^b	972	.345	236	.650	1.539	.650
	WINDS	266 ^b	-1.914	.074	432	.928	1.078	.928
	WINDD	.019 ^b	.128	.900	.032	.963	1.038	.963
	REAIN	188 ^b	-1.259	.226	300	.903	1.107	.903
	CLOUDS	.073 ^b	.485	.634	.120	.968	1.033	.968

Excluded Variables^a

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), TMAX

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Proportions		
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	TMAX	
1	1	2.000	1.000	.00	.00	
	2	8.466E-7	1536.989	1.00	1.00	

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Spain Zero Day Lag without Temperature

		Variables								
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method							
1	UV		Stepwise							
			(Criteria:							
			Probability-of-F-							
			to-enter <= .050,							
			Probability-of-F-							
			to-remove >=							
			.100).							

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Model Summary

			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	Change Statistics				
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.610ª	.372	.335	.28161	.372	10.057	1	17	.006

a. Predictors: (Constant), UV

ANOVAª								
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.		
1	Regression	.798	1	.798	10.057	.006 ^b		
	Residual	1.348	17	.079				

Total 2.146 18

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV

						Collinearity Statistics					
								Minimum			
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance			
1	HUMIDITY	063 ^b	240	.813	060	.572	1.748	.572			
	WINDS	204 ^b	905	.379	221	.736	1.358	.736			
	WINDD	272 ^b	-1.425	.173	336	.958	1.044	.958			
	REAIN	030 ^b	148	.884	037	.968	1.033	.968			
	CLOUDS	.096 ^b	.480	.637	.119	.960	1.042	.960			

Excluded Variables^a

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Proportions									
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	UV								
1	1	1.998	1.000	.00	.00								
	2	.002	31.392	1.00	1.00								
	Coefficients ^a												
-------	---------------------------	---------------	-----------------	--------------	--------	------	------------	--------------	------	--------------	------------	--	--
				Standardized									
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF		
1	(Constant)	5.139	1.015		5.062	.000							
	UV	-4.149	1.308	610	-3.171	.006	610	610	610	1.000	1.000		

Spain 3 Day Lag

	Descriptive Otatistics											
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν									
CASES	1.9257	.34726	19									
UV	.7743	.05073	19									
т	2.4564	.00351	19									
TMIN	2.4518	.00448	19									
TMAX	2.4598	.00329	19									
HUMIDITY	1.8771	.02233	19									
WINDS	.4526	.10106	19									
WINDD	2.1274	.08436	19									
RAIN	-1.2485	.59064	19									
CLOUDS	1.5674	.08462	19									

Correlations											
CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUDS		

Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	607	779	663	801	.364	464	135	.085	.218
	UV	607	1.000	.721	.696	.685	654	.514	204	179	200
	Т	779	.721	1.000	.931	.953	521	.366	.152	260	310
	TMIN	663	.696	.931	1.000	.822	453	.447	.042	253	477
	TMAX	801	.685	.953	.822	1.000	592	.269	.192	311	179
	HUMIDITY	.364	654	521	453	592	1.000	044	279	.347	.147
	WINDS	464	.514	.366	.447	.269	044	1.000	281	141	.116
	WINDD	135	204	.152	.042	.192	279	281	1.000	.272	214
	RAIN	.085	179	260	253	311	.347	141	.272	1.000	303
	CLOUDS	.218	200	310	477	179	.147	.116	214	303	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.003	.000	.001	.000	.063	.023	.291	.365	.186
	UV	.003		.000	.000	.001	.001	.012	.201	.232	.206
	Т	.000	.000		.000	.000	.011	.062	.267	.141	.098
	TMIN	.001	.000	.000		.000	.026	.027	.432	.148	.019
	TMAX	.000	.001	.000	.000		.004	.133	.216	.098	.232
	HUMIDITY	.063	.001	.011	.026	.004		.430	.124	.073	.274
	WINDS	.023	.012	.062	.027	.133	.430		.122	.283	.318
	WINDD	.291	.201	.267	.432	.216	.124	.122		.130	.189
	RAIN	.365	.232	.141	.148	.098	.073	.283	.130		.103
	CLOUDS	.186	.206	.098	.019	.232	.274	.318	.189	.103	
Ν	CASES	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	UV	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	т	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	TMIN	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	TMAX	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	HUMIDITY	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19

WINDS	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
WINDD	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
RAIN	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
CLOUDS	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	ТМАХ		Stepwise (Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

	Model Summary											
			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the		Ch	ange Statistic	S				
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change			
1	.801ª	.642	.621	.21382	.642	30.478	1	17	.000			

a. Predictors: (Constant), TMAX

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	1.393	1	1.393	30.478	.000 ^b
	Residual	.777	17	.046		
	Total	2.171	18			

b. Predictors: (Constant), TMAX

	Coefficients ^a												
				Standardized									
Unstandardized Coefficients				Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF		
1	(Constant)	210.040	37.697		5.572	.000							
	TMAX	-84.605	15.325	801	-5.521	.000	801	801	801	1.000	1.000		

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

				Excluded	Variables ^a			
						C	Collinearity Sta	tistics
								Minimum
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
1	UV	110 ^b	541	.596	134	.531	1.884	.531
	Т	171 ^b	347	.733	086	.092	10.895	.092
	TMIN	014 ^b	052	.959	013	.325	3.077	.325
	HUMIDITY	170 ^b	938	.362	228	.650	1.539	.650
	WINDS	268 ^b	-1.909	.074	431	.928	1.078	.928

WINDD	.019 ^b	.128	.900	.032	.963	1.038	.963
RAIN	182 ^b	-1.205	.246	288	.903	1.107	.903
CLOUDS	.077 ^b	.508	.619	.126	.968	1.033	.968

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), TMAX

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Pr	oportions
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	TMAX
1	1	2.000	1.000	.00	.00
	2	8.466E-7	1536.989	1.00	1.00

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Spain 3 Day Lag without Temperature

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	1.9257	.34726	19
UV	.7743	.05073	19
HUMIDITY	1.8771	.02233	19
WINDS	.4526	.10106	19
WINDD	2.1274	.08436	19
RAIN	-1.2485	.59064	19
CLOUDS	1.5674	.08462	19

			Corre	lations				
		CASES	UV	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUDS
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	607	.364	464	135	.085	.218
	UV	607	1.000	654	.514	204	179	200
	HUMIDITY	.364	654	1.000	044	279	.347	.147
	WINDS	464	.514	044	1.000	281	141	.116
	WINDD	135	204	279	281	1.000	.272	214
	RAIN	.085	179	.347	141	.272	1.000	303
	CLOUDS	.218	200	.147	.116	214	303	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.003	.063	.023	.291	.365	.186
	UV	.003		.001	.012	.201	.232	.206
	HUMIDITY	.063	.001		.430	.124	.073	.274
	WINDS	.023	.012	.430		.122	.283	.318
	WINDD	.291	.201	.124	.122		.130	.189
	RAIN	.365	.232	.073	.283	.130		.103
	CLOUDS	.186	.206	.274	.318	.189	.103	
N	CASES	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	UV	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	HUMIDITY	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	WINDS	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	WINDD	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	RAIN	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	CLOUDS	19	19	19	19	19	19	19

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	UV		Stepwise
			(Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Model Summary

			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the		Ch	ange Statistic	S	
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.607ª	.369	.332	.28387	.369	9.936	1	17	.006

a. Predictors: (Constant), UV

			ANOVA ^a			
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.801	1	.801	9.936	.006 ^b
	Residual	1.370	17	.081		
	Total	2.171	18			

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV

					Coefficier	nts ^a					
				Standardized							
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	5.145	1.023		5.028	.000					
	UV	-4.157	1.319	607	-3.152	.006	607	607	607	1.000	1.000

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

				Excluded	Variables ^a			
						C	Collinearity Sta	tistics
								Minimum
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
1	HUMIDITY	058 ^b	221	.828	055	.572	1.748	.572
	WINDS	206 ^b	913	.375	222	.736	1.358	.736
	WINDD	270 ^b	-1.414	.177	333	.958	1.044	.958
	RAIN	025 ^b	122	.905	030	.968	1.033	.968
	CLOUDS	.100 ^b	.498	.625	.124	.960	1.042	.960

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Pr	oportions
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	UV
1	1	1.998	1.000	.00	.00
	2	.002	31.392	1.00	1.00

Spain 7 Day Lag

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
CASES	1.9270	.35866	19
UV	.7743	.05073	19
т	2.4564	.00351	19
TMIN	2.4518	.00448	19
TMAX	2.4598	.00329	19
HUMIDITY	1.8771	.02233	19
WINDS	.4526	.10106	19
WINDD	2.1274	.08436	19
RAIN	-1.2485	.59064	19
CLOUDS	1.5674	.08462	19

Correlations												
		CASES	UV	т	TMIN	TMAX	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUDS	
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	618	774	662	796	.372	458	106	.103	.226	
	UV	618	1.000	.721	.696	.685	654	.514	204	179	200	

	Т	774	.721	1.000	.931	.953	521	.366	.152	260	310
	TMIN	662	.696	.931	1.000	.822	453	.447	.042	253	477
	TMAX	796	.685	.953	.822	1.000	592	.269	.192	311	179
	HUMIDITY	.372	654	521	453	592	1.000	044	279	.347	.147
	WINDS	458	.514	.366	.447	.269	044	1.000	281	141	.116
	WINDD	106	204	.152	.042	.192	279	281	1.000	.272	214
	RAIN	.103	179	260	253	311	.347	141	.272	1.000	303
	CLOUDS	.226	200	310	477	179	.147	.116	214	303	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.002	.000	.001	.000	.059	.024	.332	.337	.176
	UV	.002		.000	.000	.001	.001	.012	.201	.232	.206
	Т	.000	.000		.000	.000	.011	.062	.267	.141	.098
	TMIN	.001	.000	.000		.000	.026	.027	.432	.148	.019
	TMAX	.000	.001	.000	.000		.004	.133	.216	.098	.232
	HUMIDITY	.059	.001	.011	.026	.004		.430	.124	.073	.274
	WINDS	.024	.012	.062	.027	.133	.430		.122	.283	.318
	WINDD	.332	.201	.267	.432	.216	.124	.122		.130	.189
	RAIN	.337	.232	.141	.148	.098	.073	.283	.130		.103
	CLOUDS	.176	.206	.098	.019	.232	.274	.318	.189	.103	
Ν	CASES	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	UV	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	Т	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	TMIN	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	TMAX	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	HUMIDITY	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	WINDS	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	WINDD	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19

RAIN	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
CLOUDS	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	TMAX		Stepwise (Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

				Model	Summary				
			Adjusted R	R Std. Error of the Change Statistics					
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.796ª	.634	.613	.22324	.634	29.460	1	17	.000

a. Predictors: (Constant), TMAX

ANOVAª									
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
1	Regression	1.468	1	1.468	29.460	.000 ^b			

Residual	.847	17	.050	
Total	2.315	18		

b. Predictors: (Constant), TMAX

	Coefficients ^a										
		Standardized Coefficients Correlations				Collinearity Statistics					
Mode		B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	215.555	39.358		5.477	.000					
	TMAX	-86.847	16.000	796	-5.428	.000	796	796	796	1.000	1.000

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

	Excluded Variables ^a										
						C	Collinearity Sta	tistics			
								Minimum			
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance			
1	UV	136 ^b	664	.516	164	.531	1.884	.531			
	Т	168 ^b	338	.740	084	.092	10.895	.092			
	TMIN	025 ^b	095	.926	024	.325	3.077	.325			
	HUMIDITY	153 ^b	835	.416	204	.650	1.539	.650			
	WINDS	263 ^b	-1.847	.083	419	.928	1.078	.928			
	WINDD	.048 ^b	.314	.758	.078	.963	1.038	.963			
	RAIN	160 ^b	-1.036	.315	251	.903	1.107	.903			

CLOUDS	.086 ^b	.567	.579	.140	.968	1.033	.968

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), TMAX

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Proportions		
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	TMAX	
1	1	2.000	1.000	.00	.00	
	2	8.466E-7	1536.989	1.00	1.00	

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Spain 7 Day Lag without Temperature

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	1.9270	.35866	19
UV	.7743	.05073	19
HUMIDITY	1.8771	.02233	19
WINDS	.4526	.10106	19
WINDD	2 1274	08436	19
RAIN	-1 2485	59064	19
CLOUDS	1.5674	.08462	19

Correlations

		CASES	UV	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUDS
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	618	.372	458	106	.103	.226
	UV	618	1.000	654	.514	204	179	200
	HUMIDITY	.372	654	1.000	044	279	.347	.147
	WINDS	458	.514	044	1.000	281	141	.116
	WINDD	106	204	279	281	1.000	.272	214
	RAIN	.103	179	.347	141	.272	1.000	303
	CLOUDS	.226	200	.147	.116	214	303	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.002	.059	.024	.332	.337	.176
	UV	.002		.001	.012	.201	.232	.206
	HUMIDITY	.059	.001		.430	.124	.073	.274
	WINDS	.024	.012	.430		.122	.283	.318
	WINDD	.332	.201	.124	.122		.130	.189
	RAIN	.337	.232	.073	.283	.130		.103
	CLOUDS	.176	.206	.274	.318	.189	.103	
Ν	CASES	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	UV	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	HUMIDITY	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	WINDS	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	WINDD	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	RAIN	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	CLOUDS	19	19	19	19	19	19	19

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	UV		Stepwise
			(Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

	Model Summary									
			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	Change Statistics					
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change	
1	.618ª	.382	.345	.29024	.382	10.486	1	17	.005	

a. Predictors: (Constant), UV

			ANOVA ^a			
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.883	1	.883	10.486	.005 ^b
	Residual	1.432	17	.084		
	Total	2.315	18			

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV

	Coefficients ^a										
				Standardized							
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	5.308	1.046		5.074	.000					
	UV	-4.367	1.348	618	-3.238	.005	618	618	618	1.000	1.000

				Excluded	Variables ^a			
						C	Collinearity Sta	tistics
								Minimum
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
1	HUMIDITY	056 ^b	217	.831	054	.572	1.748	.572
	WINDS	192 ^b	855	.405	209	.736	1.358	.736
	WINDD	243 ^b	-1.269	.223	302	.958	1.044	.958
	RAIN	007 ^b	037	.971	009	.968	1.033	.968
	CLOUDS	.107 ^b	.538	.598	.133	.960	1.042	.960

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

Eigenvalue Condition Index

Variance Proportions

88

				(Constant)	UV
1	1	1.998	1.000	.00	.00
	2	.002	31.392	1.00	1.00

Spain 14 Day Lag

	Descriptiv	ve Statistics	
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	1.8586	.37721	19
UV	.7743	.05073	19
т	2.4564	.00351	19
TMIN	2.4518	.00448	19
TMAX	2.4598	.00329	19
HUMIDITY	1.8771	.02233	19
WINDS	.4526	.10106	19
WINDD	2.1274	.08436	19
RAIN	-1.2485	.59064	19
CLOUD	1.5674	.08462	19

Correlations											
		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUD
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	620	772	660	790	.377	438	083	.118	.250
	UV	620	1.000	.721	.696	.685	654	.514	204	179	200

	т	772	.721	1.000	.931	.953	521	.366	.152	260	310
	TMIN	660	.696	.931	1.000	.822	453	.447	.042	253	477
	TMAX	790	.685	.953	.822	1.000	592	.269	.192	311	179
	HUMIDITY	.377	654	521	453	592	1.000	044	279	.347	.147
	WINDS	438	.514	.366	.447	.269	044	1.000	281	141	.116
	WINDD	083	204	.152	.042	.192	279	281	1.000	.272	214
	RAIN	.118	179	260	253	311	.347	141	.272	1.000	303
	CLOUD	.250	200	310	477	179	.147	.116	214	303	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.002	.000	.001	.000	.056	.030	.367	.315	.151
	UV	.002		.000	.000	.001	.001	.012	.201	.232	.206
	т	.000	.000		.000	.000	.011	.062	.267	.141	.098
	TMIN	.001	.000	.000		.000	.026	.027	.432	.148	.019
	TMAX	.000	.001	.000	.000		.004	.133	.216	.098	.232
	HUMIDITY	.056	.001	.011	.026	.004		.430	.124	.073	.274
	WINDS	.030	.012	.062	.027	.133	.430		.122	.283	.318
	WINDD	.367	.201	.267	.432	.216	.124	.122		.130	.189
	RAIN	.315	.232	.141	.148	.098	.073	.283	.130		.103
	CLOUD	.151	.206	.098	.019	.232	.274	.318	.189	.103	
N	CASES	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	UV	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	Т	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	TMIN	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	TMAX	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	HUMIDITY	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	WINDS	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	WINDD	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19

RAIN	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
CLOUD	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	TMAX		Stepwise (Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

				Model	Summary				
			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the		Cł	ange Statistic	S	
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.790ª	.625	.602	.23784	.625	28.277	1	17	.000

a. Predictors: (Constant), TMAX

			ANOVA ^a			
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	1.600	1	1.600	28.277	.000 ^b

Residual	.962	17	.057	
Total	2.561	18		

b. Predictors: (Constant), TMAX

Coefficients ^a											
				Standardized							
Unstandardized Coefficients				Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	224.839	41.932		5.362	.000					
	TMAX	-90.649	17.047	790	-5.318	.000	790	790	790	1.000	1.000

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

				Excluded	Variables ^a				
						Collinearity Statistics			
								Minimum	
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance	
1	UV	147 ^b	711	.487	175	.531	1.884	.531	
	Т	203 ^b	404	.692	100	.092	10.895	.092	
	TMIN	033 ^b	122	.905	030	.325	3.077	.325	
	HUMIDITY	140 ^b	752	.463	185	.650	1.539	.650	
	WINDS	244 ^b	-1.657	.117	383	.928	1.078	.928	
	WINDD	.071 ^b	.458	.653	.114	.963	1.038	.963	
	RAIN	141 ^b	897	.383	219	.903	1.107	.903	

_

CLOUD	.112 ^b	.730	.476	.180	.968	1.033	.968

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), TMAX

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Pr	oportions
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	TMAX
1	1	2.000	1.000	.00	.00
	2	8.466E-7	1536.989	1.00	1.00

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Spain 14 Day Lag without Temperature

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	1.8586	.37721	19
UV	.7743	.05073	19
HUMIDITY	1.8771	.02233	19
WINDS	.4526	.10106	19
WINDD	2.1274	.08436	19
RAIN	-1.2485	.59064	19
CLOUD	1.5674	.08462	19

	Correlations										
		CASES	UV	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUD			
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	620	.377	438	083	.118	.250			
	UV	620	1.000	654	.514	204	179	200			
	HUMIDITY	.377	654	1.000	044	279	.347	.147			
	WINDS	438	.514	044	1.000	281	141	.116			
	WINDD	083	204	279	281	1.000	.272	214			
	RAIN	.118	179	.347	141	.272	1.000	303			
	CLOUD	.250	200	.147	.116	214	303	1.000			
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.002	.056	.030	.367	.315	.151			
	UV	.002		.001	.012	.201	.232	.206			
	HUMIDITY	.056	.001		.430	.124	.073	.274			
	WINDS	.030	.012	.430		.122	.283	.318			
	WINDD	.367	.201	.124	.122		.130	.189			
	RAIN	.315	.232	.073	.283	.130		.103			
	CLOUD	.151	.206	.274	.318	.189	.103				
N	CASES	19	19	19	19	19	19	19			
	UV	19	19	19	19	19	19	19			
	HUMIDITY	19	19	19	19	19	19	19			
	WINDS	19	19	19	19	19	19	19			
	WINDD	19	19	19	19	19	19	19			
	RAIN	19	19	19	19	19	19	19			
	CLOUD	19	19	19	19	19	19	19			

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	UV		Stepwise
			(Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

	Model Summary												
	Adjusted R Std. Error of the Change Statistics												
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change				
1	.620ª	.384	.348	.30469	.384	10.588	1	17	.005				

a. Predictors: (Constant), UV

ANOVAª											
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
1	Regression	.983	1	.983	10.588	.005 ^b					
	Residual	1.578	17	.093							
	Total	2.561	18								

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV

	Coefficients ^a											
				Standardized								
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics	
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF	
1	(Constant)	5.425	1.098		4.940	.000						
	UV	-4.606	1.416	620	-3.254	.005	620	620	620	1.000	1.000	

				Excluded	Variables ^a			
						Collinearity Statistics		
								Minimum
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
1	HUMIDITY	050 ^b	194	.849	048	.572	1.748	.572
	WINDS	163 ^b	725	.479	178	.736	1.358	.736
	WINDD	219 ^b	-1.136	.273	273	.958	1.044	.958
	RAIN	.008 ^b	.038	.970	.009	.968	1.033	.968
	CLOUD	.131 ^b	.664	.516	.164	.960	1.042	.960

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

Eigenvalue Condition Index Variance Proportions

				(Constant)	UV
1	1	1.998	1.000	.00	.00
	2	.002	31.392	1.00	1.00

c) Results of stepwise multiple regression of cases for Australia by climatic variables with zero, three-day, seven-day and fourteen-day time lags. Australia Zero Day Lag

	· · · ·		
	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
CASES	.5957	.18038	8
UV	.7437	.17275	8
т	2.4638	.00797	8
TMIN	2.4604	.00827	8
TMAX	2.4665	.00765	8
HUMIDITY	1.8262	.03471	8
WINDS	.6081	.06747	8
WINDD	2.2773	.09537	8

RAIN	-1.0103	.37387	8
CLOUDS	1.5015	.18994	8

				C	orrelation	S					
		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUDS
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	862	778	784	755	084	.679	.761	.634	.136
	UV	862	1.000	.942	.941	.928	053	792	868	665	399
	Т	778	.942	1.000	.999	.998	245	702	838	691	380
	TMIN	784	.941	.999	1.000	.995	226	702	819	686	374
	TMAX	755	.928	.998	.995	1.000	263	683	834	705	396
	HUMIDITY	084	053	245	226	263	1.000	265	.419	.417	.488
	WINDS	.679	792	702	702	683	265	1.000	.572	.454	.056
	WINDD	.761	868	838	819	834	.419	.572	1.000	.747	.493
	RAIN	.634	665	691	686	705	.417	.454	.747	1.000	.692
	CLOUDS	.136	399	380	374	396	.488	.056	.493	.692	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.003	.011	.011	.015	.422	.032	.014	.046	.374
	UV	.003		.000	.000	.000	.451	.010	.003	.036	.164
	Т	.011	.000		.000	.000	.279	.026	.005	.029	.177
	TMIN	.011	.000	.000		.000	.296	.026	.006	.030	.181
	TMAX	.015	.000	.000	.000		.264	.031	.005	.025	.165
	HUMIDITY	.422	.451	.279	.296	.264		.263	.151	.152	.110
	WINDS	.032	.010	.026	.026	.031	.263		.069	.130	.448
	WINDD	.014	.003	.005	.006	.005	.151	.069		.017	.107
	RAIN	.046	.036	.029	.030	.025	.152	.130	.017		.028
	CLOUDS	.374	.164	.177	.181	.165	.110	.448	.107	.028	

Ν	CASES	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	UV	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	Т	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	TMIN	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	TMAX	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	HUMIDITY	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	WINDS	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	WINDD	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	RAIN	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	CLOUDS	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	UV		Stepwise (Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Model Summary

Model	R
Model	

R Square

Change Statistics

			Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.862ª	.744	.701	.09860	.744	17.425	1	6	.006

a. Predictors: (Constant), UV

	ANOVAª										
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
1	Regression	.169	1	.169	17.425	.006 ^b					
	Residual	.058	6	.010							
	Total	.228	7								

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV

					Coefficier	nts ^a					
				Standardized							
		Unstandardize	d Coefficients	Coefficients			Correlations		Collinearity Statistics		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	1.265	.164		7.707	.000					
	UV	901	.216	862	-4.174	.006	862	862	862	1.000	1.000

a. Dependent Variable: CASES



Collinearity Statistics

						Tolerance	VIF	Minimum Tolerance
1	Т	.307 ^b	.464	.662	.203	.112	8.900	.112
	TMIN	.243 ^b	.366	.729	.162	.114	8.801	.114
	TMAX	.324 ^b	.552	.605	.240	.140	7.165	.140
	HUMIDITY	130 ^b	592	.579	256	.997	1.003	.997
	WINDS	010 ^b	028	.979	012	.373	2.682	.373
	WINDD	.050 ^b	.109	.917	.049	.247	4.057	.247
	RAIN	.108 ^b	.361	.733	.159	.557	1.795	.557
	CLOUDS	248 ^b	-1.124	.312	449	.841	1.189	.841

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Proportions		
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	UV	
1	1	1.977	1.000	.01	.01	
	2	.023	9.312	.99	.99	

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Australia 3 Day Lag

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	.5290	.18180	8

UV	.7437	.17275	8
т	2.4638	.00797	8
TMIN	2.4604	.00827	8
TMAX	2.4665	.00765	8
HUMIDITY	1.8262	.03471	8
WINDS	.6081	.06747	8
WINDD	2.2773	.09537	8
RAIN	-1.0103	.37387	8
CLOUD	1.5015	.18994	8

	Correlations										
		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUD
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	849	724	725	705	119	.645	.784	.628	.188
	UV	849	1.000	.942	.941	.928	053	792	868	665	399
	Т	724	.942	1.000	.999	.998	245	702	838	691	380
	TMIN	725	.941	.999	1.000	.995	226	702	819	686	374
	TMAX	705	.928	.998	.995	1.000	263	683	834	705	396
	HUMIDITY	119	053	245	226	263	1.000	265	.419	.417	.488
	WINDS	.645	792	702	702	683	265	1.000	.572	.454	.056
	WINDD	.784	868	838	819	834	.419	.572	1.000	.747	.493
	RAIN	.628	665	691	686	705	.417	.454	.747	1.000	.692
	CLOUD	.188	399	380	374	396	.488	.056	.493	.692	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.004	.021	.021	.025	.389	.042	.011	.048	.328
	UV	.004		.000	.000	.000	.451	.010	.003	.036	.164
	Т	.021	.000		.000	.000	.279	.026	.005	.029	.177

	TMIN	.021	.000	.000		.000	.296	.026	.006	.030	.181
	TMAX	.025	.000	.000	.000		.264	.031	.005	.025	.165
	HUMIDITY	.389	.451	.279	.296	.264		.263	.151	.152	.110
	WINDS	.042	.010	.026	.026	.031	.263		.069	.130	.448
	WINDD	.011	.003	.005	.006	.005	.151	.069		.017	.107
	RAIN	.048	.036	.029	.030	.025	.152	.130	.017		.028
	CLOUD	.328	.164	.177	.181	.165	.110	.448	.107	.028	
Ν	CASES	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	UV	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	Т	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	TMIN	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	TMAX	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	HUMIDITY	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	WINDS	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	WINDD	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	RAIN	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	CLOUD	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method

1	UV	Stepwise (Criteria:
		Probability-of-F-
		to-enter <= .050,
		Probability-of-F-
		to-remove >=
		.100).

Model Summary

			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	Change Statistics			S	
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.849ª	.721	.675	.10367	.721	15.526	1	6	.008

a. Predictors: (Constant), UV

	ANOVAª											
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.						
1	Regression	.167	1	.167	15.526	.008 ^b						
	Residual	.064	6	.011								
	Total	.231	7									

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV

Coefficients^a

				Standardized							
Unstandardized Coefficients		Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	1.194	.173		6.915	.000					
	UV	894	.227	849	-3.940	.008	849	849	849	1.000	1.000

				Excluded	Variables ^a			
						C	Collinearity Sta	tistics
								Minimum
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
1	Т	.677 ^b	1.065	.336	.430	.112	8.900	.112
	TMIN	.657 ^b	1.034	.349	.420	.114	8.801	.114
	TMAX	.594 ^b	1.037	.347	.421	.140	7.165	.140
	HUMIDITY	164 ^b	732	.497	311	.997	1.003	.997
	WINDS	073 ^b	191	.856	085	.373	2.682	.373
	WINDD	.189 ^b	.403	.703	.177	.247	4.057	.247
	RAIN	.113 ^b	.361	.733	.159	.557	1.795	.557
	CLOUD	180 ^b	734	.496	312	.841	1.189	.841

_

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

Eigenvalue Condition Index Variance Proportions

				(Constant)	UV
1	1	1.977	1.000	.01	.01
	2	.023	9.312	.99	.99

Australia 7 Day Lag

Descriptive Statistics										
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν							
CASES	.3271	.23194	8							
UV	.7437	.17275	8							
т	2.4638	.00797	8							
TMIN	2.4604	.00827	8							
TMAX	2.4665	.00765	8							
HUMIDITY	1.8262	.03471	8							
WINDS	.6081	.06747	8							
WINDD	2.2773	.09537	8							
RAIN	-1.0103	.37387	8							
CLOUDS	1.5015	.18994	8							

Correlations											
		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUDS
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	792	582	579	554	173	.596	.758	.492	.227
	UV	792	1.000	.942	.941	.928	053	792	868	665	399

	т	582	.942	1.000	.999	.998	245	702	838	691	380
	TMIN	579	.941	.999	1.000	.995	226	702	819	686	374
	TMAX	554	.928	.998	.995	1.000	263	683	834	705	396
	HUMIDITY	173	053	245	226	263	1.000	265	.419	.417	.488
	WINDS	.596	792	702	702	683	265	1.000	.572	.454	.056
	WINDD	.758	868	838	819	834	.419	.572	1.000	.747	.493
	RAIN	.492	665	691	686	705	.417	.454	.747	1.000	.692
	CLOUDS	.227	399	380	374	396	.488	.056	.493	.692	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.010	.065	.066	.077	.341	.060	.015	.108	.295
	UV	.010		.000	.000	.000	.451	.010	.003	.036	.164
	т	.065	.000		.000	.000	.279	.026	.005	.029	.177
	TMIN	.066	.000	.000		.000	.296	.026	.006	.030	.181
	TMAX	.077	.000	.000	.000		.264	.031	.005	.025	.165
	HUMIDITY	.341	.451	.279	.296	.264		.263	.151	.152	.110
	WINDS	.060	.010	.026	.026	.031	.263		.069	.130	.448
	WINDD	.015	.003	.005	.006	.005	.151	.069		.017	.107
	RAIN	.108	.036	.029	.030	.025	.152	.130	.017		.028
	CLOUDS	.295	.164	.177	.181	.165	.110	.448	.107	.028	
Ν	CASES	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	UV	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	т	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	TMIN	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	TMAX	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	HUMIDITY	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	WINDS	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	WINDD	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8

RAIN	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
CLOUDS	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	UV		Stepwise (Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).
2	TMIN		Stepwise (Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Model Summary											
			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	Change Statistics						
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change		
1	.792ª	.627	.565	.15305	.627	10.076	1	6	.019		
2	033p	870	818	00002	2/3	0 3 3 6	1	5	028		
---	------	------	------	--------	------	---------	---	---	------		
2	.500	.070	.010	.00002	.240	5.000	1	5	.020		

a. Predictors: (Constant), UV

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV, TMIN

ANOVAª											
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
1	Regression	.236	1	.236	10.076	.019 ^b					
	Residual	.141	6	.023							
	Total	.377	7								
2	Regression	.328	2	.164	16.704	.006 ^c					
	Residual	.049	5	.010							
	Total	.377	7								

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV

c. Predictors: (Constant), UV, TMIN

	Coefficients ^a												
				Standardized									
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF		
1	(Constant)	1.118	.255		4.385	.005							
	UV	-1.063	.335	792	-3.174	.019	792	792	792	1.000	1.000		
2	(Constant)	-98.395	32.570		-3.021	.029							
	UV	-2.912	.643	-2.169	-4.530	.006	792	897	731	.114	8.801		

TMIN	41.004	13.420	1.463	3.055	.028	579	.807	.493	.114	8.801

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

						Ç	istics	
								Minimum
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
1	Т	1.454 ^b	2.961	.031	.798	.112	8.900	.112
	TMIN	1.463 ^b	3.055	.028	.807	.114	8.801	.114
	TMAX	1.291 ^b	2.875	.035	.789	.140	7.165	.140
	HUMIDITY	215 ^b	840	.439	352	.997	1.003	.997
	WINDS	084 ^b	189	.858	084	.373	2.682	.373
	WINDD	.285 ^b	.533	.617	.232	.247	4.057	.247
	RAIN	063 ^b	173	.869	077	.557	1.795	.557
	CLOUDS	106 ^b	360	.734	159	.841	1.189	.841
2	Т	060 ^c	016	.988	008	.002	436.185	.002
	TMAX	.266 ^c	.147	.890	.073	.010	100.729	.008
	HUMIDITY	.059°	.282	.792	.139	.725	1.380	.083
	WINDS	265°	977	.384	439	.356	2.805	.080
	WINDD	.295°	.890	.424	.407	.246	4.057	.085
-	RAIN	.098 ^c	.403	.707	.198	.526	1.901	.107
	CLOUDS	109 ^c	575	.596	276	.841	1.189	.111

Excluded Variables^a

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV

c. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV, TMIN

				Variance Proportions					
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	UV	TMIN			
1	1	1.977	1.000	.01	.01				
	2	.023	9.312	.99	.99				
2	1	2.970	1.000	.00	.00	.00			
	2	.030	9.958	.00	.11	.00			
	3	5.697E-7	2283.272	1.00	.88	1.00			

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Australia 14 Day Lag

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
CASES	0912	.45140	8
UV	.7437	.17275	8
т	2.4638	.00797	8
TMIN	2.4604	.00827	8
TMAX	2.4665	.00765	8
HUMIDITY	1.8262	.03471	8
WINDS	.6081	.06747	8
WINDD	2.2773	.09537	8
RAIN	-1.0103	.37387	8
CLOUDS	1.5015	.18994	8

	Correlations											
		CASES	UV	Т	TMIN	TMAX	HUMIDITY	WINDS	WINDD	RAIN	CLOUDS	
Pearson Correlation	CASES	1.000	861	697	691	666	055	.632	.827	.414	.197	
	UV	861	1.000	.942	.941	.928	053	792	868	665	399	
	Т	697	.942	1.000	.999	.998	245	702	838	691	380	
	TMIN	691	.941	.999	1.000	.995	226	702	819	686	374	
	TMAX	666	.928	.998	.995	1.000	263	683	834	705	396	
	HUMIDITY	055	053	245	226	263	1.000	265	.419	.417	.488	
	WINDS	.632	792	702	702	683	265	1.000	.572	.454	.056	
	WINDD	.827	868	838	819	834	.419	.572	1.000	.747	.493	
	RAIN	.414	665	691	686	705	.417	.454	.747	1.000	.692	
	CLOUDS	.197	399	380	374	396	.488	.056	.493	.692	1.000	
Sig. (1-tailed)	CASES		.003	.027	.029	.036	.449	.046	.006	.154	.320	
	UV	.003		.000	.000	.000	.451	.010	.003	.036	.164	
	Т	.027	.000		.000	.000	.279	.026	.005	.029	.177	
	TMIN	.029	.000	.000		.000	.296	.026	.006	.030	.181	
	TMAX	.036	.000	.000	.000		.264	.031	.005	.025	.165	
	HUMIDITY	.449	.451	.279	.296	.264		.263	.151	.152	.110	
	WINDS	.046	.010	.026	.026	.031	.263		.069	.130	.448	
	WINDD	.006	.003	.005	.006	.005	.151	.069		.017	.107	
	RAIN	.154	.036	.029	.030	.025	.152	.130	.017		.028	
	CLOUDS	.320	.164	.177	.181	.165	.110	.448	.107	.028		
Ν	CASES	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
	UV	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	

Т	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
TMIN	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
ТМАХ	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
HUMIDITY	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
WINDS	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
		0	0		0		0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RAIN	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
CLOUDS	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8

Variables Entered/Removed^a

		Variables	
Model	Variables Entered	Removed	Method
1	UV		Stepwise (Criteria:
			Probability-of-F-
			to-enter <= .050,
			Probability-of-F-
			to-remove >=
			.100).

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

	Model Summary									
Adjusted R Std. Error of the Change Statistics										
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change	
1	.861 ^a .742 .699 .24777 .742 17.235 1 6 .006									

a. Predictors: (Constant), UV

	ANOVAª											
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.						
1	Regression	1.058	1	1.058	17.235	.006 ^b						
	Residual	.368	6	.061								
	Total	1.426	7									

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors: (Constant), UV

	Coefficients ^a											
Unstandardized Coefficients			Standardized Coefficients				Correlations		Collinearity	Statistics		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF	
1	(Constant)	1.582	.413		3.836	.009						
	UV	-2.250	.542	861	-4.152	.006	861	861	861	1.000	1.000	

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

Excluded Variables ^a									
						Collinearity Statistics			
								Minimum	
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance	
1	т	1.014 ^b	2.013	.100	.669	.112	8.900	.112	

	TMIN	1.053 ^b	2.183	.081	.699	.114	8.801	.114
	TMAX	.949 ^b	2.176	.082	.697	.140	7.165	.140
	HUMIDITY	100 ^b	450	.672	197	.997	1.003	.997
	WINDS	135 ^b	368	.728	162	.373	2.682	.373
	WINDD	.321 ^b	.738	.494	.313	.247	4.057	.247
	RAIN	286 ^b	-1.035	.348	420	.557	1.795	.557
	CLOUDS	- 174 ^b	- 738	494	- 313	841	1 189	.001

a. Dependent Variable: CASES

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), UV

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

				Variance Proportions		
Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	(Constant)	UV	
1	1	1.977	1.000	.01	.01	
	2	.023	9.312	.99	.99	

a. Dependent Variable: CASES